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A. ÆTHELBERHT’S “CODE”

_in Lisi Oliver, *The Beginnings of English Law* 60–81 (Toronto, 2002)† [footnotes renumbered]

\[sec.\: \text{ERROR! REFERENCE SOURCE NOT FOUND.} II\: \text{ÆTHELBERHT'S “CODE”}

\[\text{III–2}\]

_Þis syndon þa domas þe Æðelbirht cyning asette on AGustinus dæge._ ¹

1. Godes feoh 7 ciricean XII gylde. \[1\]
2. Biscopes feoh XI gylde.
3. Preostes feoh IX gylde.
4. Diacones feoh VI gylde.
5. Cleroces feoh III gylde.
6. Ciricfriþ II gylde.
8. Gif cyning his leode to him gehateþ 7 heom mon þær yfel gedo, II bôte, 7 cyninge L scillinga. \[2\]
9. Gif cyning æt mannæs ham drincæþ 7 ðær man lyswæs hwæt gedo, twibote gebete. \[3\]
10. Gif frigman cyninges stele, IX gylde forgylde. \[4\]
11. Gif in cyninges tune man mannan of slea, L scill gebete. \[5\]
12. Gif man frigne mannan of sleahþ, cyninge L scill to drihtinbeage. \[6\]

\[^{1}\] Copyright © The University of Toronto Press Incorporated 2002. Boldface in the Anglo-Saxon text indicates that the scribe has decorated the upper-case letter. Although he is not totally consistent, this is a good clue to what he regarded as separate clauses. In the notes I have replaced Professor Oliver’s boldface renditions of the manuscript text with italics.

\[^{1}\] This is in red ink, different from the black of the text proper. The diphthong in Latin “Augustinus” is anglicized to a monophthong.

\[^{2}\] Only a hook from what could have been the \(t\) remains legible in the manuscript. The restoration is based on the transcription made by Francis Tate in 1589.
These are the decrees which King Æthelberht set in Augustine’s time.

1. God’s property and the church’s property [is to be compensated] with 12-fold compensation.¹
2. A bishop’s property [is to be compensated] with 11-fold compensation.
3. A priest’s property [is to be compensated] with 9-fold compensation.
4. A deacon’s property [is to be compensated] with 6-fold compensation.
5. A cleric’s property [is to be compensated] with 3-fold compensation.
6. [Violation of] church peace [is to be compensated] with 2-fold compensation.
7. [Violation of] assembly peace [is to be compensated] with 2-fold compensation.
8. If the king summons his people² to him and a person does any harm to them there, 2[-fold] restitution and 50 shillings to the king.
9. If the king drinks at a person’s home, and a person should do anything seriously dishonest³ there, let him pay two[-fold] restitution.
10. If a freeman should steal from the king, let him compensate with 9[-fold] compensation.
11. If a person should kill someone in the king’s dwelling,⁴ let him pay 50 shillings.
12. If a person kills a free man, 50 shillings to the king as lord-payment.

¹ Copyright © The University of Toronto Press Incorporated 2002. Professor Oliver's commentary (id., 82–116) is not reproduced here, but is well worth looking at if one is puzzling over the possible meaning of various provisions. In the notes have replaced Professor Oliver's boldface renditions of the manuscript text with italics.

² As discussed in Chapter One, the block of church laws almost surely represents the most recent addition to the body of laws; previous editions have therefore grouped them under a single number. These first seven clauses are syntactically ambiguous, as *gylde* can be technically translated as a dative/instrumental noun (as compensation) or a subjunctive verb (let him compensate). This block of laws could thus also be translated along the template: [For] God’s property and the church, let him pay 12[-fold compensation]. Other than in these clauses, *gelde* appears in this text four times with a nominal reading (§10, §28.1, §75, §83) and twice with a verbal reading (§30, §70.1). Felix Liebermann, *Die Gesetze der Angelsachsen* (Halle: M. Niemeyer), 3:4 argues for a nominal reading on the basis of other Germanic parallels, where, for example, the term *angylde* ‘single compensation’ is attested; in his Glossary (Gesetze, 2:103) he enters these terms as compounds, such as *siexg* ‘six-fold compensation’ or *nigong* ‘nine-fold compensation.’ This could be an instrumental use of the dative, or a nominal adverbial suffix, as in *twibote* in §8 and §9 (Gesetze, 2:216). As comparative evidence disambiguates the Old English grammatically ambiguous structure, I have followed Liebermann’s lead in translating *gylde* as a noun.

³ According to J. M. Wallace-Hadrill, *Early Germanic Kingship in England and on the Continent* (Oxford: Clarendon, 1971), 38, the term *leod*, with its Frankish equivalent *leudes*, “may reveal a social rank common to Franks and Kentings; or just possibly one of Augustine’s Frankish interpreters may have had a hand in writing down the Kentish vernacular and used an English verbal equivalent of something he was familiar with at home.” But the Germanic term is derived from an Indo-European root *leudh*–’offspring, people’ (See Julius Pokorny, *Indogermanisches etymologisches Wörterbuch* (Bern: Francke), 684), and therefore its appearance in written records of the Franks and the Kents could simply be a case of common retention unattested in other remaining Germanic texts. Given the skimpy records which have come down to us in the early West-Germanic vernaculars, I would hesitate to place too much reliance on this term to argue strongly for a Frankish/Kentish connection here.


⁵ Whitelock translates *tun* as ‘estate’; see, however, discussion in Commentary under *Theft.*
[7]
[8]
15. Gif frigman freum stelþ, III gebete, 7 cyning age þæt wite 7 ealle þa æhtan.
[9]
   16.2. Sio þridde, XII scillingas.
[10]
17. Cyninges fedesl, XX scillinga forgelde.
[12]
18. Gif on eorles tune man mannan /Iv/² ofslæþ, XII scill gebete.
[13]
19. Gif wið eorles birele man geligeþ, XII scill gebete.
[14]
20. Ceorles mundbyrd, VI scillingas.
[15]
21. Gif wið ceorles birelan man geligeþ, VI scillingum³ gebete.
22. Gif man in mannes tún ærest geirneþ, VI scillingum gebete.
   22.1. Se þe æfter irneþ, III scillingas.
   22.2. Siðdan gehwylc scilling.
[17]

---

¹ Thus restored by Liebermann, presumably on the model of §24. The lower part of the d in [med] is still legible in the manuscript.
² There is a space here roughly equal to the length of the verb of slæþ.
³ Nasal extension line above u. This is the first use of the archaic Dative of Quantity; see the discussion in Chapter One.
⁴ n added later above a.
13. If [a person] kills the king’s official [?] smith or herald/guide, let him pay an ordinary person-price.\(^7\)

14. [For violation of] the king’s protection, 50 shillings.\(^3\)

15. If a freeman steals from a freeman, let him pay 3[-fold], and the king obtains that fine or all the possessions.\(^4\)

16. If a man lies with the king’s maiden, let him pay 50 shillings.
   16.1. If she should be a “grinding” slave, let him pay 25 shillings.\(^5\)
   16.2. If she should be [of the] third [rank], 12 shillings.\(^6\)

17. [For feeding of] the king, let him pay 20 shillings.\(^7\)

18. If a person kills someone in a nobleman’s dwelling, let him pay 12 shillings.

19. If a person lies with a nobleman’s cupbearer,\(^8\) let him pay 12 shillings.

20. [For violation of] a freeman’s protection, 6 shillings.\(^9\)

21. If a person lies with a freeman’s cupbearer,\(^10\) let him pay with 6 shillings.
   21.1. For that second [rank of female slave], 50 sceattas.\(^11\)
   21.2. For that third [rank], 30 sceattas.

22. If a person breaks [as the] first into someone’s dwelling, let him pay with 6 shillings.
   22.1. He who breaks in next, 3 shillings.
   22.2. Afterwards, each a shilling.

---

1 Liebermann takes *ambiht smið* as a compound meaning ‘official smith’; as in other medieval manuscripts, the scribe often leaves a space between the component elements of compounds. The manuscript break between the two elements could, however, represent a word boundary, giving the meaning of ‘official [or] smith.’ Whether *laadrinc man* should be interpreted as ‘lead-warrior man [=guide]’ or ‘bringing-warrior-man [=herald/messenger]’ is unclear, although Old Norse parallels seem to give preference to the latter. See discussion in Commentary under *King*.

2 This term provides a literal—if somewhat inelegant—translation of the Kentish *leodgeld*. Unlike the *wergild* ‘man-price’ of §31, the first component of this compound is gender-neutral. I think it is likely that the two are, in fact, synonyms, but maintain the distinction in translations to preserve the difference inherent in the manuscript.

3 The ‘king’s protection’ is the right to peace for members of the king’s household, retinue, and guests. Injury or damage done to any of these constitutes a violation of protection.

4 Griffith translates: “the king shall take the fine and all the [stolen] goods.” But it makes no sense to assume that the stolen goods would not be returned to the original owner. Following Liebermann and Whitelock, I take the second γ here to be the adversative ‘or’ rather than the conjunctive ‘and.’ See parallels in §§23, 30, 80.

5 The “grinding slave” is responsible for the production of meal from grain; see discussion in Commentary under *King*.

6 *sio* can either be a 3rd person singular subjunctive or a feminine demonstrative modifying *þridde*. In the latter case, the clause would read “[For] the third [rank]...’ I have chosen the former, as it parallels the use of *sio* in §16.1.

7 The term *fedesl* ‘feeding’ probably refers to the responsibility of the king’s subjects to provide him with sustenance: the *feorm* of later texts. Should a person default that duty or wish to commute it to a monetary payment, he owes 20 shillings. See Lisi Oliver, “*Cyninges feodesl*: The Feeding of the King in Æthelberht ch. 12,” *Anglo-Saxon England* (1998): 59-75, and references therein.

8 This figure is a woman—the noun is feminine—despite the fact that the modern *butler*, derived from *birele*, is almost always male.

9 Whitelock, *EHD*, 392 states that the sense of *ceorl*, which I translate as ‘freeman’ throughout, is ‘peasant proprietor.’

10 See parallel in §19.

11 The Kentish *shilling* was a gold piece containing 20 *sceattas*; the *sceatta* was a smaller gold piece equal in weight to a grain of barley. See discussion in Commentary under *Monetary System*. 

---
23. Gif man mannan wæpnum bebyreþ ðær ceas weorð, 7 man nægig yfel ne gedeþ, VI scillingum gebete.
   23.1. Gif wegreaf sy1 gedón, VI scillingum gebete. [18]
   23.2. Gif man þone man of slæhð, XX scillingum gebete. [19]
24. Gif man mannan ofslæhð, medume leodgeld C scillinga gebete.
   24.1. Gif man mannan ofslæhð, æt openum græfe, XX scillinga forgelde, 7 in XL nihta ealne leod2 forgelde.
   24.2. Gif bana of lande gewiteþ, ða magas healfne leod forgelden. [20]
26. Gif man ceorlæs hlafætan ofslæhð, VI scillingum gebete. [22]
27. Gif læt ofslæhð, þone selestan LXXX scill forgelde. [23]
   27.1. Gif þane oþerne ofslæhð, LX scillingum forgelde.
   27.2. Dane þriddan, XL scillingum forgelde(n).5
28. Gif friman edorbrecþe gedøþ, VI scillingum gebete. [24]
   28.1. Gif man inne feoh genimeþ, se man III gelde gebete. [25]
29. Gif friman edor gegangeð, IIII scillingum gebete. /2r/ [26]
30. Gif man mannan ofslea, agene scætte 7 unfacne feo gehwilce gelde. [27]
31. Gif friman wið fries mannes wif geligeþ, his wergilde abicge, 7 oðer wif his agenum scætte begete 7 ðæm oðrum æt þam6 gebrenge.
32. Gif man rihthamsyld þurh stinð, mid weordœ forgelde. [28]
33. Gif feaxfang geweorð, L sceatta to bote. [29]
34. Gif banes blice weordœþ, III scillingum gebete. [30]

---

1 i changed to y by scribe.
2 Manuscript reads leo_d.
3 Thus restored by Liebermann, presumably on the model of §81. The lower part of all characters is still visible.
4 Added later above last x of numeral.
5 I follow Liebermann in emending this to the singular forgelde.
6 Liebermann suggests emending this to ham ‘home’; see fn to translation.
23. If a person provides someone with weapons where strife arises, but\(^1\) he does no harm, let him pay with 6 shillings.

23.1. If highway robbery should be done, let him [i.e., the one who provided the weapons] pay with 6 shillings.

23.2. If a person kills that man [who is being robbed] let him [i.e., the one who provided the weapons] pay with 20 shillings.

24. If a person kills someone, let him pay an ordinary person-price, 100 shillings.

24.1. If a person kills someone, let him pay 20 shillings at the open grave, and let him pay the entire person-price in 40 nights.

24.2. If the killer departs from the land, let his kinsmen pay a half person-price.

25. If a person binds a freeman, let him pay [with] 20 shillings.

26. If a person kills a freeman’s loaf-eater,\(^2\) let him pay with 6 shillings.

27. If [a person] kills a freedman\(^3\) of the first rank, let him pay [with] 80 shillings.

27.1. If he kills [one of] that second [rank], let him pay with 60 shillings.

27.2. [For one of] that third [rank], let him pay with 40 shillings.

28. If a freeman breaks into an enclosure,\(^4\) let him pay with 6 shillings.

28.1. If a person takes property therein, let that man pay 3–fold as compensation.

29. If a freeman enters an enclosure [with intention to rob], let him pay with 4 shillings.

30. If a person should kill someone, let him pay [with] his own money or\(^5\) unblemished property, whichever.

31. If a freeman lies with a free man’s wife, let him buy [him/her] off [with] his/her wergild\(^6\) and obtain another wife [for the husband] [with] his own money and bring her to the other man at home.\(^7\)

32. If a person pierces through the rihthamscyld,\(^8\) let him pay with [its] worth.

33. If exposure of a bone occurs, let him pay with 3 shillings.

34. If seizing of hair occurs, 50 sceattas as restitution.

---

\(^{1}\) Another adversative use of γ ‘and’; see parallels in §§15, 30 and 80.

\(^{2}\) Etymologically, the members of the household center themselves around the hlaf ‘loaf’: the hlaford ‘lord’ (< guardian of the loaf), the hlafeldige ‘lady’ (< shaper of the loaf) and the hlæfæta ‘dependent’ (< eater of the loaf).

\(^{3}\) The exact ramifications of the rank læt are unclear, as the term occurs nowhere else in Old English; this designation may also include indigenous Welshmen. See discussion in Commentary under Freedman.

\(^{4}\) edorbrycþ literally means ‘fence-breaking’; that is, breaking through the fence surrounding an enclosure, thereby violating the security of the property (and it is thus translated by Liebermann, Gesetze, 2:60). See discussion in Commentary under Breaking and Entering.

\(^{5}\) Another example of the adversative γ; see parallels in §§15, 23, 80.

\(^{6}\) As wif is neuter and the possessive pronoun his can be masculine or neuter, it is grammatically ambiguous whether the wergild is that of the man or the woman.

\(^{7}\) As stated in the fn to the edition, Liebermann suggests an emendation to ham ‘home.’ I am not convinced this is necessary. Modern German still retains the idiom “bei ihm,” which is more familiar perhaps in the French “chez lui,” in both instances meaning roughly “at his home.” Although we do not find this idiom elsewhere in English, as we have no text which predates this one, I would not rule out the possibility that we are seeing here the remnants of an idiomatic use of the pronoun which does not survive long in the Anglo-Saxon territories. The choice of one interpretation over the other does not materially affect the translation.

\(^{8}\) This word appears nowhere else in Old English, and its meaning is uncertain. See discussion in Commentary under rihthamscyld.
35. *Gif banes bite weorð, IIII scillingum gebete.* [35]
36. *Gif sio uterre hion gebroce weorðeþ, X scillingum gebete.* [36]
    36.1. *Gif butu sien, XX scillingum gebete.* [37]
37. *Gif eaxle gelæmed weorðeþ, XXX scillingum gebete.* [38]
38. *Gif ofer eare nawiht1 gehereð, XXV scillingum gebete.* [39]
39. *Gif eare of weorð2 aslagen, XII scillingum gebete.* [40]
40. *Gif eare þirel weorðeþ, III scillingum gebete.* [41]
41. *Gif eare sceard weorðeþ, VI scillingum gebete.* [42]
42. *Gif eage of weorð, L scillingum3 gebete.* [43]
43. *Gif muð oþþe eage woh weorðeþ, XII scillingum gebete.* [44]
44. *Gif nasu ðyrel weorð, VIII scillingum gebete.* [45]
    44.1. *Gif hit sio an hleore, III scillingum gebete.* [46]
    44.2. *Gif butu ðyrel sien, VI scillingum gebete.* [47]
45. *Gif nasu ælcor sceard weorð, gehwylc VI scillingum gebete.* [48]
46. *Gif þirel weorþ, VI scillingum gebete.* [49]
47. *Se þe cinban forslæhð, mid XX scillingum forgelde.* [50]
48. *Æt þam feower toðum fyrestum, æt gehwylcum VI scillingas.* [51]
    48.1. *Se toþ se þanne /2v/ bi standeþ, IIII scillingum.* [52]
    48.2. *Se þe ðonne bi ðam standeþ, III scillingum.* [53]
    48.3. *And5 þon ne siþþan gehwylc, scilling.* [54]
49. *Gif spræc awyrd weorþ, XII scillingas.* [52]
50. *Gif widobane gebroce[n]6 weorðeþ, VI scillingum gebete.* [52.1]
51. *Se þe earm þurh stinð, VI scillingum gebete.* [53]
52. *Gif earm forbrocen weorð, VI scillingum gebete.* [53.1]
53. *Gif þuman of aslæhð, XX scillingum.* [54]
54. *Gif þuman nægl of weorðeþ, III scillingum gebete.* [54.1]
55. *Gif man scytefinger of aslæhð, VIII scillingum gebete.* [54.2]
56. *Gif man middelfinger of aslæhð, III scillingum gebete.* [54.3]

---

1 Changed from *nowiht* by scribe.
2 *o* on erasure.
3 There is a character above the line which Liebermann reads as an open *a*, and thus renders the term *scillinga*. However, the scribe never uses such a character elsewhere, and furthermore, this cannot account for the long tail off the *a*. It seems far more likely that this is a *u* with an appended nasal suspension stroke, giving a dative plural *scillingum*; note that this is within the section in which the “Dative of Quantity” is used. (See discussion in Chapter One under *Chronological Layering*.)

4 Liebermann postulates that a word may be missing from this clause. This seems likely, as §44 has already dealt with the piercing of the nose, and the amounts of restitution are different in the two clauses.

5 Changed from *ond* by scribe.

6 Manuscript reads *gebroced*. 
35. If cutting of a bone occurs, let him pay with 4 shillings.
36. If the outer hion [=?covering of the skull] becomes broken, let him pay with 10 shillings.
   36.1. If both [outer covering and skull] should be [broken], let him pay with 20 shillings.
37. If a shoulder becomes lamed, let him pay [with] 30 shillings.
38. If either ear hears nothing, let him pay [with] 25 shillings.
39. If an ear becomes struck off, let him pay [with] 12 shillings.
40. If an ear becomes pierced, let him pay [with] 3 shillings.
41. If an ear becomes gashed, let him pay [with] 6 shillings.
42. If an eye becomes gouged out, let him pay [with] 50 shillings.
43. If mouth or eye becomes damaged, let him pay [with] 12 shillings.
44. If a nose becomes pierced, let him pay with 9 shillings.
   44.1. If it [i.e., the piercing] should be on the cheek, let him pay [with] 3 shillings.
   44.2. If both [cheeks] should be pierced, let him pay [with] 6 shillings.
45. If a nose becomes gashed otherwise, let him pay [with] 6 shillings for each [gash].
46. If [?it] becomes pierced, let him pay [with] 6 shillings.  
47. He who breaks a jawbone, let him pay with 20 shillings.
48. For the foremost four teeth, for each 6 shillings.
   48.1. [For] that tooth which is beside there, 4 shillings.
   48.2. [For] that [tooth] which is beside that one, 3 shillings.
   48.3. And [for] each of the others, a shilling.
49. If speech becomes damaged, 12 shillings.
50. If a collarbone becomes damaged, let him pay [with] 6 shillings.
51. He who stabs through an arm, let him pay with 6 shillings.
52. If an arm becomes broken, let him pay [with] 6 shillings.
53. If [a person] strikes off a thumb, 20 shillings.
54. If a thumbnail becomes off, let him pay [with] 3 shillings.
55. If a person strikes off a shooting finger [=forefinger], let him pay [with] 9 shillings.
56. If a person strikes off a middle finger, let him pay [with] 4 shillings.

---

1 The term hion appears nowhere else in Old English, and its meaning is uncertain. See discussion in Commentary under Personal Injury.

2 See footnote to §46 in the Old English text. On the basis of other Germanic parallels, Liebermann suggests that the word þrotu 'throat' may have been inadvertently omitted by the scribe because of the þ of the following þirel. See Liebermann, Gesetze, 3:11.
57. *Gif man goldfinger of aslæhð, VI scill gebete.* [54.4]

58. *Gif man þone lytlan finger of aslæhð, XI scill gebete.* [54.5]

59. *Æt þam neglum gehwylcum, scilling.* [55]

60. *Æt þam lærestan wlitewamme, III scillingas.* [56]

   60.1. *And æt þam maran, VI scill.*

61. *Gif man òberne mid fyste in naso slæhð, III scill.* [57]

   61.1. *Gif dynt sie, scilling.* [58]

   61.2. *Gif he heahre handa dyntes onfæð, scill forgelde.* [58.1]

   61.3. *Gif dynt sweart sie buton wædum, XXX scætta gebete.* [59]

   61.4. *Gif hit sie binnan wædum, gehwylc XX scætta gebete.* [60]

62. *Gif hrif wound weordēþ, XII scill gebete.* [61]

   62.1. *Gif he þurhõirel weordēþ, XX scill gebete.* [61.1]

63. *Gif man gegemed weordēþ, XXX scill gebete.* [62]

   63.1. *Gif man cearwund sie, XXX scill gebete.* [63]

64. *Gif man gekyndelice lim awyrdeþ, þrym leudgeldum hine /3r/ man forgelde.* [64]

   64.1. *Gif he þurhstinð, VI scill gebete.* [64.1]

   64.2. *Gif man inbestinð, VI scill gebete.* [64.2]

65. *Gif ðeoh gebrocen weordēþ, XII scillingum gebete.* [65]

   65.1. *Gif he healt weorð, þær motan freond seman.* [65.1]

---

1 The demonstrative here serves to close the section enumerating damage to the fingers.
2 i made into y by scribe.
3 Changed from ond by scribe.
4 Either hrif is the subject of the verb with wund serving as predicate, or the two form a compound subject; see parallel §68 and footnote to translation.
57. If a person strikes off a goldfinger [i.e., ringfinger], let him pay [with] 6 shillings.

58. If a person strikes off the little finger, let him pay [with] 11 shillings.

59. For each of the nails, a shilling.

60. For the least disfigurement of the appearance, 3 shillings.

60.1. And for the greater, 6 shillings.

61. If a person strikes another in the nose with [his] fist, 3 shillings.

61.1. If it should be a blow, a shilling.

61.2. If he receives a blow [from] a raised hand, let him [who struck the blow] pay a shilling.\(^1\)

61.3. If the [bruise which arises from] the blow should be black outside the clothing, let him pay 30 sceattas [in addition].

61.4. If it should be inside the clothing, let him pay 20 sceattas [in addition] for each [bruise].

62. If the abdomen becomes wounded, let him pay [with] 12 shillings.\(^2\)

62.1. If he becomes pierced through, let him pay [with] 20 shillings.\(^3\)

63. If a person becomes cured [after having been wounded], let him [i.e., the person who caused the wound] pay [with] 30 shillings.

63.1. If a person should be grievously wounded, let him pay [with] 30 shillings.\(^4\)

64. If a person damages the genital organ, let him pay him with three person-prices.

64.1. If he stabs through [it], let him pay [with] 6 shillings.

64.2. If a person stabs into [it], let him pay [with] 6 shillings.\(^5\)

65. If a thigh becomes broken, let him pay with 12 shillings.

65.1. If he becomes lame, then friends\(^6\) must arbitrate.

---

\(^1\) It is not clear what distinguishes these different types of blow. I am tempted to take §61.1 as the same as §61.2, inserted by scribal oversight; note that the amounts of restitution are identical. Then the crucial distinction would be between §61 and §61.2. Liebermann suggests that §61.2 may be struck with the open hand as opposed to a fist. Possible also is that the difference is between a right-handed and left-handed blow: Grimm claims that the Norse cognate of heah was used to distinguish the right hand. See Jacob Grimm, “Review of Thorpe, Ancient Laws,” in Kleine Schrifte (Hildesheim/Zürich/New York, 1991), 318-9. But I think the interpretation is likely more straightforward: a blow delivered with raised hand is restituted by an additional shilling because the windup literally allows it to deliver more punch.

\(^2\) This could also be translated: “If an abdominal-wound occurs...” As hrif appears rarely as the first element of a compound, I have chosen to take it as the subject of the verb with wund as a predicate adjective.

\(^3\) That is, the wound goes right through the injured man. he cannot refer to either the stomach (hrif, neuter) or the wound (wund, feminine).

\(^4\) See discussion of these clauses in Commentary under Personal Injury.

\(^5\) Liebermann, Gesetze, 3:13, points out that these sums seem remarkably small compared to the fine stipulated for damage to the penis and specifies that perhaps §64.1 and §64.2 refer to another body part which has been omitted in the copying. But one could also interpret these clauses as referring to the scrotum as a whole; this eliminates the discrepancy, since the scrotum can be pierced without impairing the ability to engender children.

\(^6\) The term freond can mean either ‘friends’ or ‘kinsmen.’ Note, however, that elsewhere in this text ‘kinsmen’ is rendered by megas. Although D. H. Green, Language and History in the Early Germanic World (Cambridge: Cambridge UP, 1998), 57 claims that “the meaning ‘kinsman’ is clear when frēond is employed in a legal context,” all his examples are later. Alexander Callander Murray, Germanic Kinship Structure: Studies in Law and Society in Antiquity and the Early Middle Ages (Toronto: Pontifical Institute of Medieval Studies, 1983), 136, suggests that this should be seen “not a strict kin group at all, but as a kindred-based group composed of interested relatives, friends and dependents”; similarly Thomas Charles-Edwards, “Anglo-Saxon Kinship Revisited,” in The Anglo-Saxons from the Migration Period to the Eighth Century: An Ethnographic Perspective, ed. J. Hines (Woodbridge: Boydell, 1997), 180. It is not clear whether this clause refers to friends of the injured man or to representatives chosen by both parties. See discussion in Chapter One under Chronological Layering.
66. *Gif rib forbrocen weorð, III scil gebete.*

67. *Gif man þeoh ðurhstingþ, stice gehwilce VI scillingas.*
   67.1. *Gyfe ofer\(^1\) ynce, scilling.*
   67.2. *Æt twam yncum, twegen.*
   67.3. *Ofer þry, III scil.*

68. *Gif wælt[-]wund\(^2\) weorðeþ, III scillingas gebete.*

69. *Gif fot of weorðeþ, L scillingum forgelde(n).\(^3\)*

70. *Gif seo mycclæ ta of weorðeþ, X scilling forgelde(n).\(^5\)*
   70.1. *Æt þam oðrum taum gehwilcum, healf gelde ealswa æt þam fingrum ys cwiden.*

71. *Gif þare mycclan taan nægl of weorþeð, XXX scætta to bote.*
   71.1. *Æt þam oðrum gehwilcum, X scættas gebete.*

72. *Mæþbot sy\(^6\) swa friges mannes.*

73. **Mund þare betstan widuwan eorlcundre, L scillinga gebete.**
   73.1. *Dare oþre, XX scil.*
   73.2. *Dare þriddan, XII scil.*
   73.3. *Dare feorðan, VI scil.*

74. **Mund þare betstan widuwan eorlcundre, L scillinga gebete.**
   74.1. *Dare oþre, XX scil.*

75. *Gif man widuwan unagne genimeþ, II gelde seo mund sy.\(^7\)*

76. *Gif man\(^8\) mæþ gebigeð\(^9\) ceapi, geceapod sy\(^10\) gif hit unfacne is.*
   76.1. *Gif hit þonne facne is, ef[t]\(^11\) þær æt ham gebrenge, 7 him man his scæt agefe.*
   76.2. *Gif hio cwic bearn gebyreþ, healfne scæt age gif ceorl ær swylteþ. /3y/*
   76.3. *Gif mid bearnum bugan wille, healfne scæt age.*
   76.4. *Gif ceorl agan wile, swa an bearn.*
   76.5. *Gif hio bearn ne gebyreþ, fæderingmagas fioh agan 7 morgengyfe.*

---

1. *The f* is added later in the space following *gy*, and the *e* is then written above the *o* of *ofer*.

2. *Either wælt is the subject of the verb with wund serving as predicate, or the two form a compound subject; see §63.1 and §62, both of which contain similar ambiguities.*

3. *I follow Liebermann’s suggestion that this should be emended to the singular forgelde; see §27.2.*

4. *Changed from *mycle* by scribe.*

5. *I follow Liebermann’s suggestion that this should be emended to the singular forgelde; see §27.2 and §69.*

6. *There is a point added later in a different ink to separate *mæghbot* from *sy*. Liebermann says the *y* is on an erasure.*

7. *y on an erasure.*

8. *Changed from *mon* by scribe.*

9. *Liebermann reads this as a barred *d*; both in the manuscript and the facsimile it looks to me like any other *ð* written by this scribe.*

10. *y on an erasure.*

11. *I follow Liebermann’s suggestion in emending the manuscript reading of *eft* to *eft*. 
66. If a rib becomes broken, let him pay 3 shillings.¹

67. If a person stabs through a thigh, for each thrust 6 shillings.
   67.1. If [the width of the wound] is over an inch,² a shilling;
   67.2. for two inches, two [shillings];
   67.3. over three [inches], 3 shillings.

68. If a “welt-wound” occurs, let him pay 3 shillings.³

69. If a foot becomes [struck] off, let him pay with 50 shillings.

70. If the big toe becomes [struck] off, let him pay 10 shillings.
   70.1. For each of the other toes let him pay half the amount already discussed for the fingers.

71. If the big toenail becomes [struck] off, 30 sceattas as restitution.⁴
   71.1. For each of the others, let him pay 10 sceattas.

72. If a free woman in charge of the locks does anything seriously dishonest,⁵ let her pay 30 shillings.

73. Compensation for [injury to/offense against] a maiden shall be as for a free man.

74. [For violation of] protection of the foremost widow of noble rank, let him pay 50 shillings.
   74.1. [For a widow] of the second [rank], 20 shillings.
   74.2. [For a widow] of the third [rank], 12 shillings.
   74.3. [For a widow] of the fourth [rank], 6 shillings.

75. If a person takes a widow who does not belong to him, the [payment for violation of] protection shall be 2[-fold] as compensation.

76. If a person buys a maiden with a [bride-]price, let the bargain be [valid], if there is no deception.
   76.1 If there is deception, afterwards let him bring [her to her] home, and let him be given his money.
   76.2 If she bears a living child, let her obtain half the goods [belonging to the household] if the husband dies first.
   76.3 If she should wish to dwell with the children, let her obtain half the goods [of the household].⁶
   76.4 If she should wish to take a man [i.e., another husband], provision as for one child [i.e., the inheritance is split equally between the mother and each of the children].
   76.5 If she does not bear a child, her paternal kin should obtain [her] property and the morning-gift.⁷

¹ This section seems to have been displaced in the usual top-to-bottom enumeration of the personal injury laws: note that it comes between two clauses concerning injury to the thigh.
² A term similarly borrowed from Latin *uncia* ‘one-twelfth’ is used for measuring the width of wounds in Old Irish law; see discussion in Chapter One under Chronological Layering.
³ Previous editors translate this along the lines of “If a sinew becomes wounded ...”; see discussion in Commentary under Personal Injury.
⁴ At 20 sceattas to the shilling, this represents half the sum for the 3-shilling thumbnail.
⁷ See discussion of these clauses in Commentary under Women and Children.
SEC. III.A

ÆTHELBERHT’S “CODE”

77. Gif man mægþmanⁱ nede genimeþ, ðam agende L scillinga, 7 eft æt þam agende sinne
willan ætgebicge.

77.1. Gif hio oþrum mæn in sceat bewyddod sy,² XX scillinga gebete.

77.2. Gif gængang³ geweorðeþ, XXXV scilli, 7 cyninge XV scillinas.

78. Gif man mid esnes cwyan geligeþ be cwicum ceorle, II gebete.

79. Gif esne oþerne⁴ ofslea unsynningne, ealne weorðe forgelde.

80. Gif esnes eage 7 foot of weorðeþ aslagen, ealne weorðe hine forgelde.

81. Gif man mannes esne gebindeþ, VI scilli⁵ gebete.

82. Deowæs wegreaf se III scillinas.

83. Gif þeow⁶ steleþ, II gelde gebete.

---

¹ a is a correction for o.
² y is on an erasure.
³ Deformed g here looks like a later interpolation; it is on an erasure.
⁴ Written above following words.
⁵ As discussed in Chapter One, I would expand this as the dative scillingum and connect chronologically the section concerning the esne with those sections dealing with the ceorl and personal injuries.
⁶ w written in another hand.
77. If a person takes a maiden by force: to the owner [of her protection] 50 shillings, and afterwards let him buy from the owner his consent [to marry her].

77.1. If she should be betrothed to another man by goods [i.e., the bride-price has been paid], let him pay 20 shillings [to that man as well].

77.2. If return [of the stolen maiden] occurs, 35 shillings and 15 shillings to the king.

78. If a person lies with a servant’s1 wife while the husband2 is alive, let him pay 2[-fold what he would have paid were she unmarried].

79. If a servant should kill another [who is] guiltless, let him pay [the dead man’s master] the entire worth.

80. If a servant’s eye or foot becomes struck off, let him pay him [i.e., the servant’s master] the entire worth.3

81. If a person binds a person’s servant, let him pay [with] 6 shillings.

82. A slave’s highway robbery shall be [paid for with] 3 shillings.

83. If a slave steals, let him pay 2[-fold] as compensation.

---

1 The esne—here translated as ‘servant’—“was probably a poor freeman from whom a certain portion of labour could be demanded in consideration of his holdings, or a certain rent ... reserved out of the produce of the hives, flocks or herds committed to his care. He was a poor mercenary, serving for hire, or for his land, but was not of so low a rank as the þeow or wealh.” See Joseph Bosworth, An Anglo-Saxon Dictionary (Oxford: Clarendon), 1898. F. L. Attenborough, The Laws of the Earliest English Kings (Cambridge: University Press, 1922), 178 points out that the original meaning “appears to have been ‘harvester’ (c.f. Gothic asans, ‘harvest’).”

2 The term ceorl can mean ‘man,’ ‘freeman’ or ‘husband,’ although the primary sense here is clearly ‘husband.’

3 γ can mean either ‘and’ or ‘or’; the latter seems more likely here, but see discussion in Commentary under Esne. Other adversative uses of this ligature can be found in §§15, 23, 30.
a. NOTES ON ÆTHELBERHT’S “CODE”

A TABLE OF WERGELDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Aethelberht</th>
<th></th>
<th>Ine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mundbyrd</td>
<td>wergeld</td>
<td>wergeld</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>king</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eorl</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>300(^a)=6000(^b)</td>
<td>1200=6000(^c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>600=3000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ceorl</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>100=2000</td>
<td>200=1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>læt</td>
<td></td>
<td>80/60/40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>esne=læt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>theow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

a. In Hlother & Eadric 1.
b. \(\text{at } 20\) pence to the shilling.
c. \(\text{at } 5\) pence to the shilling.

b. NOTES AND QUESTIONS

1. What does this table suggest about social structure in Kent (Aethelberht) and Wessex (Ine) in the seventh century?

2. What did the Anglo-Saxons think about law-making? Consider the following text from the Prologue to Alfred’s Laws:

   I then, King Alfred, have collected these [dooms] and ordered [them] to be written down—[that is to say,] many of those which our predecessors observed and which were also pleasing to me. And those which were not pleasing to me, by the advice of my witan, I have rejected, ordering them to be observed only as amended. I have not ventured to put in writing much of my own, being what might please those who shall come after us. So I have here collected the dooms that seemed to me the most just, whether they were from the time of Ine, my kinsman, from that of Offa, king of the Mercians, or from that of Aethelberht, the first of the English to receive baptism; the rest I have discarded. I, then, Alfred, king to the West Saxons, have shown these [dooms] to all my witan, who have declared it is the will of all that they be observed.

3. The most extensive provisions about marriage and the status of women are those in Aethelberht 73–78 (above, pp. 13–15).

4. Provisions about inheritance are scattered throughout the Anglo-Saxon codes. Consider, for example, the provisions from Aethelberht 76.2–76.5 (above, p. 13). Here are the provisions from Ine 38, Alfred 41, 2 Cnut 70:

   Ine 38. If a ceorl and his wife have a child, and the ceorl dies, the mother shall keep her child and bring it up. She shall be given 6s [a year] for its care—a cow in summer and an ox in winter. The relatives shall keep the homestead until the child has grown up.

   Alfred 41. We now ordain that any one who has bookland left him by his kinsmen is not to give it outside his kindred if there is written or oral evidence (gewrit odhdh gewitnes) that to do so was forbidden by the man who originally acquired it or by those who gave it to him. And this should be proved in the presence of the kindred, and with the witness of the king or of the bishop, by any one [wishing to annul such an alienation].

   2 Cnut 70. And if anyone, whether through negligence or through sudden death, departs this life without having made a will, his lord shall take no more of his chattels than his lawful heriot. Rather, by his direction, the goods are to be most justly apportioned to the widow, the children, and the near relatives—to each the share that is rightfully his. 
5. One of the great themes in the study of Anglo-Saxon institutions is the decline of the kindred. It’s a theme that can be exaggerated. Anglo-Saxon kindreds were small to start off with. The evidence of language suggests a rather narrow group, bilateral terminology but preference for the patriline. Then too, the documents show that the blood feud was still alive at the end of the period. Here are some relevant texts from the dooms:

Abt 30—individual responsibility (above, p. 7).

Alf 42—surrounding the house and demanding justice:

42. We also command that any one knowing his enemy to be at home shall not fight him before demanding justice of him [in court]. If [the accuser] has strength to surround and besiege his enemy inside [the latter’s house], let him be held there seven nights and not attacked so long as he will remain inside. Then after seven nights, if the [besieged enemy] will surrender and give up his weapons, let him be kept unharmed for thirty nights while news of him is sent to his kinsmen and friends. . . . If, however, [the accuser] lacks the strength to besiege his enemy, he shall ride to the alderman and ask him for aid; if the latter refuses him aid, he shall ride to the king before beginning a fight. . . . We declare furthermore that one may fight for his lord without incurring blood-feud, if the lord has been attacked. So also the lord may fight for his man. In the same way one my fight for his blood-relative, should the latter be unjustly attacked, except against his own lord—that we do not permit....

2 Aethelstan 2—everyone must have a lord:

2. And with regard to lordless men from whom no justice is to be obtained, we have ordained that their kindred be commanded to settle them in homes where they will be subject to folkright, and to find them lords in the popular court (folcgemote). And if, by the day set, the kindred will not or cannot do so, he shall thenceforth be an outlaw, to be treated as a thief by any one who meets him. . . .

Edmund 2.1—further isolating the individual:

2.1. Henceforth, if any man slays another, [we order] that he by himself shall incur the blood-feud, unless he, with the help of his friends, buys it off by paying the full wergeld [of the slain man] within twelve months, no matter of what rank the latter may be. If, however, his kinsmen abandon him, refusing to pay anything in his behalf, then it is my will that the whole kindred, with the sole exception of the actual slayer, be free of the blood-feud so long as they give him neither food nor protection. If, on the other hand, one of his kinsmen later gives him such assistance, the former shall forfeit to the king all that he has, and he shall incur the blood-feud [along with the slayer] because the latter has already been disowned by the kindred. And if any one of the other kindred takes vengeance on any men besides the true slayer, he shall incur the enmity of the king and all of the king’s friends, and he shall forfeit all that he has.

B. BOOK OF CONSTITUTIONS OR LAW OF GUNDOBAD

in THE BURGUNDIAN CODE, Contents, Preface, cc. 1–45"

CONTENTS

I. Of the Privilege of Bestowing Gifts Permitted to Fathers, and Concerning Royal Gifts and Gratuities.
II. Of Murders.
III. Of the Emancipation of Our Slaves.
IV. Of Solicitations and Thefts.
V. Of Those Who Strike Others with Lash or Rod, With A Kick, or with a Blow of the Fist.
VI. Of Fugitives.
VII. Of Slaves (Servi) and Serfs (Originarii) Who Are Accused of Crimes.
VIII. Of the Commission of Crimes Which Are Charged Against Native Freemen.
IX. Of Acts of Violence

† Copyright © 1949 by the University of Pennsylvania Press.
¹ The text followed in this translation is that of L. R. de Salis, Leges Burgundionum (Monumenta Germaniae Historica, Sectio I, Tomus II, Pars I) (Hanover, 1892) [KFD].
X. Let Burgundians and Romans Be Held Under the Same Condition in the Matter of Killing Slaves

XI. Of Inflicted Wounds.

XII. Of Inflicted Wounds.

XIII. Of Clearings (Exarti).

XIV. Of Succession.

XVII. Of Other Cases and the Removal of Liability for Punishment.

XVIII. Of Those Things Which Happen by Chance.

XIX. Of the Removal of Pledges and Guarantors (Oathakers).

XX. Of Thefts Committed by Fugitives.

XXI. Of Contracts Entered into by Slaves.

XXII. Of the Abolition of the Advocacy (Patrocinium) of Barbarians in Lawsuits Involving Romans.

XXIV. Of Burgundian Women Entering A Second or Third Marriage.

XXV. Of Thefts and Acts of Violence.

XXVI. Of Knocking Out Teeth.

XXVII. Of Broken Fences, Closed Roads, also Thefts and Acts of Violence.

XXVIII. Of the Privilege of Cutting Wood Granted in Common.

XXIX. Of Those Committing Assault and Breach of the Peace.

XXX. Of Women Violated.

XXXI. Of Planting Vineyards.

XXXII. Of Him Who Has Bound A Man Illegally or without Cause.

XXXIII. Of Injuries Which Are Suffered by Women.

XXXIV. Of Divorces.

XXXV. Of the Punishment of Slaves Who Commit A Criminal Assault on Freeborn Women.

XXXVII. Of Drawn Swords.

XXXVIII. Of the Refusal of Hospitality

XXXIX. Of Receiving Strangers.

XL. Of Manumissions.

XLI. Of Crops Burned BY Fire.

XLII. Of the Inheritance of Those Who Die without Children.

XLIII. Of Gifts.

XLIV. Of the Adultery of Girls and Widows.

XLV. Of Those Who Deny Those Things Charged Against Them, and Offer Oaths.

XLVI. Of Those Who Set Traps (Drawn Bows, tensuras) for Killing Wolves.

XLVII. Of the Condemnation of Thieves, of Their Wives, and of Their Children.

XLVIII. Of Inflicted Wounds.

XLIX. Of Animals Causing Damage in Closed Fields and Driven into Enclosures, and Horses Wandering at Large.

L. Of Killing Agents of the King as Well as of Private Persons.

LI. Of Those Who Do Not Give Their Sons the Portions of Their Property Due to Them.

LII. Of Betrothed Women Who, Incited by Desire, Go to Consort with Others.

LIII. Of the Inheritance of Sons Who, After the Death of Their Father, Die Intestate, While Their Mother Survives.

LIV. Of Those Who Presume to Take a Third of the Slaves and Two Parts of the Land (of Their Host) Contrary to Public Prohibition.

LV. Of Excluding Barbarians Whenever Contention Arises Between Two Romans Concerning the Boundaries of Their Fields.

LVI. Of Slaves Bought in Alamannia.

LVII. Of Freedmen of the Burgundians Who Do Not Have the Privilege of Departing.

LVIII. Of Killing Dogs.

LIX. Of Grandchildren.

LX. Of Employing Witnesses of Gifts.
LXI. Of Women Who Willingly Seek Union with a Man.
LXII. Of Only Sons.
LXIII. Of Those Who Have Stolen Grain Standing in Sheaves (in Gremiis).
LXIV. Of Animals Killed in the Harvest.
LXV. Of Widows from Whom the Debts of Their Husbands Are Sought.
LXVI. Of Girls Without Fathers and Mothers Who Are Given in Marriage.
LXVII. This Must Be Observed Concerning Forests.
LXVIII. Of Adultery.
LXIX. Of the Wedding Gift (Wittimon).
LXX. Of Thefts.
LXXI. Of Traps for Animals.
LXXII. Of Animals Which Have Entered a Vineyard.
LXXIII. Of Horses Which Have Bones and Sticks (Scindola) Tied to Their Tails.
LXXIV. Of Widows and Their Children.
LXXV. Of an Inheritance Divided Between a Nephew and an Aunt.
LXXVI. Of Royal Servants (Wittiscalci).
LXXVII. Of Warrants (Inscriptiones).
LXXVIII. Of the Succession of Inheritance.
LXXIX. Of Prescription (i.e., the Setting of Time Limits or the Establishment of Title).
LXXX. Of Those Bearing False Witness and False Accusers (Slanderers).
LXXXI. Of Future Appeals Before Judges.
LXXXII. Of Wards.
LXXXIII. Of an Inheritance Divided Between a Nephew and an Aunt.
LXXXIV. Of Royal Servants (Wittiscalci).
LXXXV. Of Warrants (Inscriptiones).
LXXXVI. Of Guaran tors (Oath takers).
LXXXVII. Of Arms and Legs Which Are Broken by Another.
LXXXVIII. Of Marriage Ornaments (Malahereda).
LXXXIX. Of Contracts Entered into by Minors.
LXXX. Of Emancipation.

Continuation of the Book of Constitutions

LXXXI. Of Animals Which Have Entered a Vineyard.
    XC. Of Judgments.
    XCI. Of Freemen Who Commit Theft with a Slave.
    XCI. Of Women Whose Hair Has Been Cut Off in Their Own Courtyard.
    XCIII. Of Arms and Legs Which Are Broken by Another.
    XCIV. Of Ships.
    XCV. Of Trackers (Vegii, Veiatores).
    XCVI. Of Guarantors (Oath takers).
    XCVII. Of Hounds (Canes Veltravi), Hunting Dogs (Seguitii), or Running Dogs (Petrunculi).
    XCVIII. Of Falcons.
    XCIX. Of Sales Which Are Made Without Witnesses.
        C. Of Women Who Go to Their Husbands Voluntarily.
        CI. Of the Marriage Price (Wittimon).
        CII. Of Jews Who Presume to Raise Their Hands Against Christians.
        CIII. Of Vineyards.
        CIV. Of Asses.
        CV. If Anyone Has Taken Another’s Oxen in Pledge.

Constitutiones Extravagantes (Additional Enactments)

(Titles I-XVII repeat Titles LXXXIX-CV of the Code above.)

XVIII. This Must Be Observed Concerning Forests.
XIX. Of the Arrest of the Guilty.
XX. Of the Arrest of the Guilty.
XXI. Here Begins the Ordinance Which Our Most Glorious King Established at Ambérieux in an
Assembly of the Burgundians.

a. PREFACE

FIRST CONSTITUTION

1. In the name of God in the second year of the reign of our lord the most glorious king Gundobad, this book concerning laws past and present, and to be preserved throughout all future time, has been issued on the fourth day before the Kalends of April (March 29) at Lyons.²

2. For the love of justice, through which God is pleased and the power of earthly kingdoms acquired, we have obtained the consent of our counts (comites) and leaders (proceres), and have desired to establish such laws that the integrity and equity of those judging may exclude all rewards and corruptions from themselves.

3. Therefore all administrators (administrantes) and judges must judge from the present time on between Burgundians and Romans according to our laws which have been set forth and corrected by a common method, to the end that no one may hope or presume to receive anything by way of reward or emolument from any party as the result of the suits or decisions; but let him whose case is deserving obtain justice and let the integrity of the judge alone suffice to accomplish this.

4. We believe the condition of this law should be imposed on us that no one may presume to tempt our integrity in any kind of case with favors or rewards; first, since our zeal for equity repudiates from ourselves those things which we forbid to all judges under our rule, let our treasury accept nothing more than has been established in the laws concerning the payment of fines.

5. Therefore let all nobles (optimates), counsellors (consiliarii), bailiffs (domestici), mayors of our palace (maiores domus nostrae), chancellors (cancellarii),³ counts (comites) of the cities or villages, Burgundian as well as Roman, and all appointed judges and military judges (judices militantes) know that nothing can be accepted in connection with those suits which have been acted upon or decided, and that nothing can be sought in the name of promise or reward from those litigating; nor can the parties (to the suit) be compelled by the judge to make a payment in order that they may receive anything (from their suit).

6. But if any of those mentioned, corrupted against our laws, or even judging justly, has been convicted of receiving rewards from suits or decisions, and the crime has been proved, let him be punished capitally as an example to all: with the further provision that the penalty which has been imposed shall not cause any loss of property to the sons or legitimate heirs of him whose dishonesty was punished.

7. Indeed for the payments given to the scribes (notarii) of our appointed judges in rendering decisions in suits exceeding ten solidi,⁴ we give the opinion that a single tremissis should suffice; in suits involving less than ten solidi, let smaller payments be sought.

² The following is a translation of the Restored Introduction of the True Law of Gundobad as devised by de Salis:

“First Constitution

“The most glorious Gundobad, king of the Burgundians. Since we have given deep thought to the enactments of our predecessors and of ourselves regarding the peace and welfare of our people, we have considered what is most fitting to promote honesty, discipline, good sense, and justice with reference to individual cases and titles of law. We have consulted on all these matters with the nobles (optimates) of our people, and we have attempted to write down not only our own opinion but theirs as well, established in laws to last forever. For the love of justice through which God is pleased, etc.”

This introduction as restored by De Salis may represent the introduction prepared by Gundobad at his original collection of the laws, while that given in the main text may represent a preface prepared by Gundobad’s son, Sigismund, at a later period when Sigismund reissued the lawbook together with additions of his own. That this is true is indicated by the fact that in Section 1, a number of the manuscripts designated by De Salis A 1, 2, 3; B 1, 2, 4, 6, attribute the codification of this work to King Sigismund whose name is substituted for that of King Gundobad in this first section. Also, the fact that this preface was issued from Lyons would point to a period in Sigismund’s reign since Gundobad ruled from Vienne while Sigismund ruled from Lyons. Manuscripts A 4; B 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, retain the name of Gundobad. Cf. ibid., pp. 29–30.

³ We have little information about the functions in the Burgundian kingdom of the various officials mentioned here in the preface. For proceres (Sec. 2), cf. DuCange, Glossarium Mediae et Infimae Latinitatis, V, 460; for consiliarii, cf. ibid., II, 551; for domestici, cf. ibid., II, 904; for cancellarii, cf. ibid., II, 79–84; and for notarii (Sec. 7), cf. ibid., IV, 647.
8. Since a similar condition has been forbidden among Romans in cases of the crime of venality, we command that Romans be judged by the Roman laws just as has been established by our predecessors; let them know that they must follow the form and statement of the written law when they render decisions so that no one may be excused on grounds of ignorance.

9. In the case of unjust decisions rendered before this time, let the conditions of the earlier law be followed. But we add this also, that if perchance a judge has been accused of corruption and has been convicted without sufficient reason, let the accuser be compelled to receive a punishment similar to that which we ordered the corrupted judge to receive.

10. If indeed anything is not covered by the statement of our laws, we order those judging to refer such matters to us.

11. Indeed if any judge, barbarian as well as Roman, shall not render decisions according to those provisions which the laws contain because he has been prevented by ignorance or negligence, and he has been diverted from justice for this reason, let him know that he must pay thirty solidi and that the case must be judged again on behalf of the aggrieved parties.

12. We apply this principle: if judges have entertained an appeal for the third time and have not rendered judgment, and if he who has brought suit believes that an appeal to us should be sought, and if he has proved his judges to have been present three times and not to have heard the case, let the judge suffer a fine of twelve solidi. But if anyone shall presume to come to us concerning any sort of case without consulting the

---

4. There are three Roman coins named in the Burgundian Code: the solidus, the semissis, and the tremissis. The solidus was a gold coin which under Constantine succeeded the aureus and continued to be coined until the fall of the Byzantine Empire. In western Europe it was later called the bezant. Originally, the solidus was worth about 25 denarii, although in the later empire it was reduced to about half that amount; as a medieval money of account it was equal to 12 denarii. The semissis was a coin minted under the later emperors worth half a solidus and said to contain 59.8 grains of gold. The tremissis was worth a third of a solidus. However, it is hard to give any comprehensible value to these moneys, even in purchasing power, since it would seem almost impossible for the Burgundians of the lower classes to raise the sums which might be levied against them under the terms of the laws. It has been suggested by Professor Summerfield Baldwin of the University of Akron that the establishment of a wergeld (money value) which was placed upon the life of each barbarian, a wergeld described in the laws in terms of Roman money, was an attempt by the barbarians to establish their social and legal equality with the Romans, to set some value upon their lives which might be comprehensible to their Roman subjects, a value which in the barbarian mind represented the inherent worth and dignity of a particular individual, yet also a value which was modified by his special class and position in society. In such a case the wergeld placed upon the life of a man or the composition set for stealing animals or inflicting certain wounds might not necessarily mean that the Burgundian kings meant an actual exchange of money to take place. Instead these values might indicate the relative value placed upon the lives of individuals of different classes, or they might indicate the importance set upon the stealing of certain animals or the inflicting of certain wounds in the minds of the barbarians since their more primitive revenges and compositions would hardly be accepted by their more advanced Roman subjects. On the other hand, the Burgundian kingdom was a well-established federate kingdom in a relatively fertile and rich region, so it might well be that the Burgundians had adopted the use of this Roman money and readily accepted the imposition of money compositions. In order to get some conception of the value of these coins to the Burgundians, we might note some of the wergelds and compositions.

Wergelds:

The Unfree (wergeld would be paid to master):
An ordinary slave—30 solidi
A slave, Roman or barbarian, ploughman or swineherd—30 solidi
An ordinary horse—5 solidi
A “best” horse—10 solidi
A mare—3 solidi
A cow—1 solidus
A sheep—1 solidus
A pig—1 solidus
A goat—1 tremissis
A beehive—1 solidus
A boat—2 solidi

The Freemen:
Lower class (minores personae)—150 solidi
Middle class (mediocres)—200 solidi
Nobility (optimates) 300 solidi

Compositions in case of theft:
A bondservant—25 solidi
A dog—5 solidi
A ship—12 solidi
A boat—2 solidi

Upon the lives of individuals of different classes, or they might indicate the importance set upon the stealing of certain animals or the inflicting of certain wounds in the minds of the barbarians since their more primitive revenges and compositions would hardly be accepted by their more advanced Roman subjects. On the other hand, the Burgundian kingdom was a well-established federate kingdom in a relatively fertile and rich region, so it might well be that the Burgundians had adopted the use of this Roman money and readily accepted the imposition of money compositions. In order to get some conception of the value of these coins to the Burgundians, we might note some of the wergelds and compositions.
judges—that is, not having appealed the case for a third time as we have ordered—let him pay the fine which we ordered a negligent judge to pay so that business may not be delayed through avoidance of the appointed judges.

13. Let no Roman or Burgundian count, in the absence of the other judge, presume to decide any case however often they may desire it, so that consulting frequently they may not be in doubt concerning the provisions of the laws.5

14. Finally it is pleasing that our constitutions be confirmed with the signatures of the counts added below, so that this statement of the law which has been written as the result of our effort and with the common consent of all may, observed throughout posterity, maintain the validity of a lasting agreement.

Here follow the names of those who have signed the laws and ensuing constitutions and those things which are set forth in the previous pages and which are to be observed in time to come under the guidance of God.

(At this point are appended the seals of the following counts)

Abcar                       Aumemund                   Sunia
Aunemund                    Aveliemen                   Gundeful
Unnan                       Conigasd                    Gundemund
Hildeulf                    Viliemen                    Effo
Hildegern                   Coniarc                     Widemer
Usgild                      Wallaer                     Wadahamer
Walest                      Siggo                       Silvan
Aumemund                    Fredemund                   Fastila
Andar                       Avenahar                    Coma
Amgath                      Vulfia                      Sigisvuld
Auderic

5 Evidently it was the custom for the justices to sit in pairs, perhaps one Burgundian count and one Roman count making up the court. Cf. G. A. Davoud-Oghlou, Histoire de la Législation des Anciens Germain, I, 435.

I OF THE PRIVILEGE OF BESTOWING GIFTS PERMITTED TO FATHERS, AND CONCERNING ROYAL GIFTS AND GRATUITIES.

1. Because nothing concerning the privilege of bestowing gifts which is permitted to fathers, or concerning the gifts (and gratuities) of rulers, has been provided in the laws, we have decreed in the present statute, with the common consent and will of all, that it be permitted to a father to give to anyone from the common property or from the produce of his labor before he makes a division, except that land acquired by allotment (title of lot, sors1 concerning which the arrangement of previous laws will still stand.2

2. If a father has divided (his property) with his sons and offered them their portions, and afterward had one or more sons by another wife, those sons who are by the second wife shall succeed to that portion which the father has acquired (subsequent to the first division);3 and when the father makes a division of his property, these children (of the second wife) can require nothing more from the other children.

1 The land designated terra sortis was that land which a barbarian “guest” was assigned from the property of his Roman “host,” according to the system of hospitality followed in dividing the land between the Burgundians and Romans. Among the Burgundians and Romans the practice seems to have been that the Burgundian received two-thirds of the land and one-third of the slaves of his Roman host. Cf. LIV, 1.

2 See XIV, XLII, LI, LIII, LXII, LXXV, and LXXVIII.

3 I.e., apparently that property acquired subsequent to the division among the sons of the first wife.
3. Also it is pleasing that this rule be added to the law, that if any one of our people is known to have received anything in the way of gift from our predecessors, we decree in the present statute that he pass on to his sons that which was given to him out of our largesse.  

4. Moreover we decree that if anyone has received anything either from our gift, or shall receive otherwise, God granting, let the text of our gift show it. Further, let it be said that such gifts shall pass to their posterity provided they serve with such devotion and faith that these gifts of our predecessors may be increased and preserved.

II OF MURDERS.

1. If anyone presumes with boldness or rashness bent on injury to kill a native freeman of our people of any nation or a servant of the king, in any case a man of barbarian tribe, let him make restitution for the committed crime not otherwise than by the shedding of his own blood.

2. We decree that this rule be added to the law by a reasonable provision, that if violence shall have been done by anyone to any person, so that he is injured by blows of lashes or by wounds, and if he pursues his persecutor and overcome by grief and indignation kills him, proof of the deed shall be afforded by the act itself or by suitable witnesses who can be believed. Then the guilty party shall be compelled to pay to the relatives of the person killed half his wergeld according to the status of the person: that is, if he shall have killed a noble of the highest class (optimas nobilis), we decree that the payment be set at one hundred fifty solidi, i.e., half his wergeld; if a person of middle class (mediocris), one hundred solidi; if a person of the lowest class (minor persona), seventy-five solidi.

3. If a slave unknown to his master presumes to kill a native freeman, let the slave be handed over to death, and let the master not be made liable for damages.

4. If the master knows of the deed, let both be handed over to death.

5. If the slave himself flees (defuerit) after the deed, let his master be compelled to pay thirty solidi to the relatives of the man killed for the value (wergeld) of the slave.

6. Similarly in the case of royal slaves, in accordance with the status of such persons, let the same condition about murderers be observed.

7. In such cases let all know this must be observed carefully, that the relatives of the man killed must recognize that no one can be pursued except the killer; because just as we have ordered the criminals to be destroyed, so we will suffer the innocent to sustain no injury.

III OF THE EMANCIPATION OF OUR SLAVES.

If it shall be established that any were the freedmen or freedwomen of our ancestors of royal memory, that is, Gibica, Godomar, Gislaharius, Gundaharius, also of our father and our uncle, let them remain in that same state of freedom; whoever among them has been in a servitude of lower status under our ancestors, let them remain under our dominion (dominium).

IV OF SOLICITATIONS AND THEFTS.

1. If anyone solicits another’s bondservant, or anyone, either native Burgundian or Roman, presumes to take in theft a horse, mare, ox, or cow, let him be killed: and let him who lost the bondservants and animals mentioned above, if he is not able to find them in the possession of the solicitor or thief, receive compensation in fee simple: that is, if he is not able to find that bondservant, for the bondservant, twenty-five solidi; for the best horse, ten solidi; for an ordinary one, five solidi; for the mare, three solidi; for the ox, two solidi; for the cow, one solidus.

---

4 Those who had received land from the king’s grant were not allowed to share the property of a Roman host. Cf. LIV, 1.

5 Davoud-Oghlou regards this law as an indication of incipient feudalism for it seems to say that those who held land of the king owed some service in return. Cf. Davoud-Oghlou, op. cit., I, 428 (O, 13), 443 (S, 5).

6 These killings (homicidia) are apparently murders not unpremeditated homicides.

7 Nation, i.e., barbarian nation or tribe.
2. If indeed a slave commits the theft, let him be handed over to death: and let the master of the slave requite by a single payment (i.e., in fee simple) and without claim to further damages him who lost those things which were taken away by theft, including the above-mentioned animals which cannot be found, in accordance with the tariff of established prices.

3. And if any native freeman, either Burgundian or Roman, takes in theft a pig, a sheep, a beehive, or a she-goat, let him pay threefold according as their value is established, and in addition, let him pay a fine of twelve solidi. Let the composition be for the pig, one solidus; for the sheep, one solidus; for the beehive, one solidus; for the goat, a tremissis. Indeed, let their value be paid threefold.

4. If a slave of a Burgundian or of a Roman admits the theft of the afore-mentioned animals (livestock), let the slave be handed over for punishment that he may receive three hundred blows of a stick. Moreover, let the master pay in simple for the crime; and a fine is not required from the master.

5. If a native freeman steals the little bell (tintinnum) attached to a horse, let him return another horse like it (i.e., a horse like the one to which the bell was attached); and let a like provision be observed concerning a lead ox. If a slave take it, let him be beaten.

6. Moreover, if a native freeman steals the hobble (pedica) of a hobbled horse, let him know that a horse of like value must be returned. If a slave commits such an act, let him receive a hundred blows of a stick for each offense.

7. If a native freeman presumes to ride a horse without the owner’s permission, let him know that two solidi must be given to him to whom the horse belongs if the horse has been taken for a journey of one day only; but if indeed for more than that, let him be held according to that law which we have ordered to be observed concerning horses used for journeys. If a slave does this, let him be beaten.

8. Let him who presumes to do work with the ox of another without the owner’s knowledge or permission be compelled to hand over two oxen to the owner.

V. OF THOSE WHO STRIKE OTHERS WITH LASH OR ROD, WITH A KICK, OR WITH A BLOW OF THE FIST.

1. If anyone strikes a native freeman with such presumption, let him pay a single solidus for each blow, and let him render a fine of six solidi to the king’s treasury.

2. Whoever strikes another’s freedman, let him pay a single semissis for each blow; moreover, let the fine be set at four solidi.

3. Whoever strikes another’s slave, let him pay a single tremissis for each blow; moreover, let the fine be set at three solidi.

4. If anyone seizes a native freeman violently by the hair, if with one hand, let him pay two solidi; if with both hands, four solidi; moreover, let the fine be set at six solidi.

5. If anyone seizes a freedman or another’s slave violently by the hair, either with one hand or with both, it is pleasing that determination of punishment be made as in the case of blows, whether against a freeman, a freedman, or a slave, and so also assessment of both composition and fine is required in cases of this kind.

---

8 Cf. DuCange, op. cit., VI, 592.
9 Cf. ibid., V, 173. It is suggested by Davoud-Oghlou that the heavy payment imposed for the theft of a little bell or a hobble—the value of the animal to which it was attached—results from the fact that the animal could easily become lost without the tintinnum or pedica. Cf. Davoud-Oghlou, op. cit., I, 415 (L, 11).
10 The word used here is inventicius which is very obscure. The root seems to be the same as that of adventicus, from which is derived the Castilian word adventicio, meaning something strange or unusual; the Portuguese word adventicco (adventiciamente), meaning adventitious, foreign, strange, extraordinary, and the Italian word adventiccio, which seems to mean a stranger, one who has come from abroad. Cf. DuCange, op. cit., I, 97. Substituting the prefix in for ad, we get a word meaning something or someone coming from within and, when applied to a horse, might refer to a horse used for journeys within the realm or district under consideration. An alternative possibility deriving the word from vendo rather than venio might give the meaning of “riding horse,” “livery horse,” or a horse “for hire” as related to venditio (sale, for sale) or ventitio (customs, tax, payment) for which see ibid., VI, 788. Davoud-Oghlou, op. cit., I, 415 (L, 12) suggests only that the man who keeps a horse more than one day shall be considered a thief.
6. If a slave strikes a native freeman with a blow of his fist, let him receive a hundred blows.

7. If indeed a master of a slave engages in a fight (is hard pressed in a struggle) with another and the slave, while wishing to help his master, strikes his master’s opponent, let the master pay one solidus for the blow struck by the slave.

VI OF FUGITIVES.

1. If anyone seizes a fugitive within the provinces belonging to us, let him receive a solidus for the fugitive; and if the fugitive takes a horse with him, let him (the man who seizes the fugitive) receive a semissis for a pack horse, a tremissis for a mare, and let him return the fugitive with all these things. And if it is without the realm, let him who seizes a fugitive receive two solidi for the fugitive, and for the horse one solidus, for the mare a semissis.

2. Let him who has followed a fugitive, and by chance kills him while resisting, be free from all blame (prosecution); or if he who follows is struck by the fugitive, let no blame attach to the master of the fugitive.

3. If a fugitive is captured by anyone, either Burgundian or Roman, and he escapes his custody by chance, let him from whom he fled swear that he had escaped without his collusion or knowledge, and after an oath has been given as stated above, let him suffer no blame.

4. Whoever unintentionally provides a native freeman or slave who is a fugitive with false hair (capillum fecerit), let him forfeit five solidi; if he provides him with such hair intentionally, let him be compelled to pay the wergeld of the fleeing man.

5. Whoever intentionally aids a fugitive to cross a river, let him suffer the punishment of an accomplice (solicitor).

6. If a fugitive shall escape, let him (from whose custody he fled) take oath that the escape was made neither by his own collusion, as stated above, nor by the collusion of his slaves, and that he escaped his bonds neither with his nor with their knowledge.

7. If indeed he will not give such an oath, let him pay fifteen solidi for the fugitive.

8. But if it happens that he (the fugitive) escaped with his collusion, he who allowed him to escape shall be compelled to pay thirty solidi. If indeed he who is seized takes with him something belonging to his master or to another and exhibits it in the house of the man from whose custody he escaped (with his consent), let that man restore it singlefold.

9. If a native freeman intentionally gives bread to a fugitive of a Burgundian or of a Roman, let him bring him back. If unintentionally he gives him bread, or helps him across a river, or shows him the road, let him suffer no loss after an oath has been given.

10. If a native freeman, knowing him to be a fugitive, gives letters to the fugitive to aid his escape, let him be condemned to the loss of a hand; if a slave does this, let him be condemned to the loss of a hand after receiving three hundred blows.

\[\text{11} \text{ There is some difficulty with the phrase capillum fecerit, but it probably means “to provide with false hair,” i.e., with a wig. Since loss of the hair was particularly degrading to a barbarian, it might well be that a slave might have his head shaved and that he would need a wig to conceal the fact that he was a fugitive slave. But the law mentions freeman or slave. Here we can only guess that the freeman had been apprehended for some crime and had his head shaved, and then escaped; or simply that he wanted a wig to conceal or disguise his identity. For other possibilities, cf. Davoud-Oghlou, op. cit., I, 417, n. 1.}\]

\[\text{12} \text{ Perhaps letters of direction or just possibly forged documents of manumission.}\]
VII OF SLAVES (Servi) AND SERFS (Originarii) WHO ARE ACCUSED OF CRIMES.  

This procedure will be observed among Burgundians and Romans: if a crime is charged by anyone which cannot be proved at the present, we order that it be observed that whether it be the slave (servus) of Burgundian or Roman who is accused of the crime, let his master not be compelled to take oath either for slave (servus) or serf (originarius); but when a crime has been charged, either let the value of the slave (servus) or serf (colonus) be established according to his condition, which (value) the master to whom the slave (servus) or serf (colonus) belongs shall receive from the accuser in person, or let him (the master) receive a slave (mancipium) of like value. When this has been done thus, let him who has been charged with the crime be handed over to a judge for torture so that if he shall have admitted by confession that which is charged, let the man (who brought the charge) receive back the wergeld which he had given (to the master of the slave). Then let the slave (servus) be killed for the confessed crimes so that the penalty which has been established above may be observed. But if, however, the slave (servus) or serf (colonus) shall not have confessed under torture, let him who made the charge make restitution to his master: let the master obtain either a substitute slave (servus), whom he receives on account of the punishment of an innocent slave (servus), or let him keep the wergeld (which the man who brought the charge was obliged to give the master of the slave against whom the charge was brought).

VIII OF THE COMMISSION OF CRIMES WHICH ARE CHARGED AGAINST NATIVE FREEMEN.  

1. If a native freeman, either barbarian or Roman, is accused of a crime through suspicion,  
let him render oath, and let him swear with his wife and sons and twelve relatives: if indeed he does not have wife and sons and he has mother or father, let him complete the designated number with father and mother. But if he has neither father nor mother, let him complete the oath with twelve relatives.

2. But if he who must take oath wishes to take it with raised hand (de manu), and if those who are ordered to hear the oath—those three whom we always command to be delegated by the judges for hearing an oath—before they enter the church declare they do not wish to receive the oath, then he who was about to take oath is not permitted to do so after this statement, but they (the judges) are hereby directed by us to commit the matter to the judgment of God (i.e., to ordeal).

3. If however, having received permission, he has taken the oath, and if he has been convicted after the oath, let him know that he must make restitution by a ninefold payment (in novigildo) to those in whose presence the judge ordered him to give his oath.

4. But if they (those appointed to hear the oath) fail to come to the place on the appointed day, and if they shall not have been detained by any illness or public duty, let them pay a fine of six solidi. But if they were detained by any illness or duty, let them make this known to the judge or send other persons in their place whom they can trust to receive the oath for them.

5. If moreover he who is about to take the oath does not come to the place, let the other party wait until the sixth hour of the day; but if he has not come by the sixth hour, let the case be dismissed without delay.

13 At this period there is no clear distinction between the servi and mancipia in the unfree status and between the coloni and originarii in the semifree status. That this is true is quite clear in this law where servi and mancipia seem to be used interchangeably, while the same thing is true of coloni and originarii. Perhaps it is a mistake, however, to translate colonus and originarius as “serf,” for they are not yet serfs in a strictly medieval sense, they are closer to the unfree in status and might even be sold away from the land with which they were associated. For servus, cf. DuCange, op. cit., VI, 221; for mancipium, ibid., IV, 218; for colonus, ibid., II, 441; and for originarius, ibid., IV, 734. Cf. LXXVII.

14 Cf. XLV.

15 Not a Roman technical term, but a perfectly good Latin word meaning pretty much what it means in English. See Digest 48.19.5: The emperor Trajan ordered that “no one should be condemned on the ground of suspicion alone.” (The phrase is followed by the famous aphorism: “It is better to leave the crime of the guilty unpunished than to condemn the innocent.” Ed.)

16 I have no confidence in the translation of this passage. Perhaps what it says is: “But if he to whom the oath is to be sworn (presumably the accuser) wishes to take the oath from him (the accused) out of his hand, ...” The rest follows pretty much as Drew has it, except that at the end what it probably means is “they (i.e., both the accuser and the accused) are directed to us, to be committed to the judgment of God.” (The slight difference in translation leading at least to the possibility that the judgment of God here is battle [between the two of them] rather than ordeal.) Ed.
6. But if the other (the accusing party) does not come, let him who was about to take the oath depart without loss.17

IX OF ACTS OF VIOLENCE

If any Burgundian or Roman shall take away anything, even a young animal, we order him who took it away to pay the price which has been set by us ninefold.

X LET BURGUNDIANS AND ROMANS BE HELD UNDER THE SAME CONDITION IN THE MATTER OF KILLING SLAVES

1. If anyone kills a slave, barbarian by birth, a trained (select) house servant or messenger, let him compound sixty solidi; moreover, let the amount of the fine be twenty solidi. If anyone kills another’s slave, Roman or barbarian, either ploughman or swineherd, let him pay thirty solidi.

2. Whoever kills a skilled goldsmith, let him pay two hundred solidi.

3. Whoever kills a silversmith, let him pay one hundred solidi.

4. Whoever kills a blacksmith, let him pay fifty solidi.

5. Whoever kills a carpenter, let him pay forty solidi.

XI OF INFLICTED WOUNDS.

1. Whoever cuts off with a blow the arm of a man, either a freeman or slave, let him compound half his wergeld; if he does not cut the arm off, let him be judged according to the nature of the wound.

2. If anyone inflicts a wound on another’s face, we order him to pay three times the price in fee simple established for wounds on a part which is protected by clothing.

XII OF THE STEALING OF GIRLS.

1. If anyone shall steal a girl, let him be compelled to pay the price set for such a girl ninefold, and let him pay a fine to the amount of twelve solidi.

2. If a girl who has been seized returns uncorrupted to her parents, let the abductor compound six times the wergeld of the girl; moreover, let the fine be set at twelve solidi.

3. But if the abductor does not have the means to make the abovementioned payment, let him be given over to the parents of the girl that they may have the power of doing to him whatever they choose.

4. If indeed, the girl seeks the man of her own will and comes to his house, and he has intercourse with her, let him pay her marriage price threefold; if moreover, she returns uncorrupted to her home, let her return with all blame removed from him.

5. If indeed a Roman girl, without the consent or knowledge of her parents, unites in marriage with a Burgundian, let her know she will have none of the property of her parents.

XIII OF CLEARINGS (Exarti).

If anyone, Burgundian as well as Roman, makes a clearing in the common forest, let him give another such tract of forest to his host (or guest as the case may be), and let him possess the clearing which he made without any disturbance18 by his host (or guest).

17 The Latin of 8.3–6 is not as clear as the translation. Ed.

18 Here for the word commotione Beyerle substitutes communione, a reading given by the larger number of manuscripts (A 3, B 2, 3, 11; communione A 4, B 1, 8; commune B 5). This would change the law to read: “If anyone Burgunaian as well as Roman, makes a clearing in the common forest, let him give another such tract of forest to his host (or guest as the case may be) and let him possess the clearing which he made without any community of possession shared with his host (or guest).” Cf. Franz Beyerle, Gesetze der Burgunden, Germanenrechte, Band 10, 28; and De Salis, op. cit., p. 52.
XIV OF SUCCESSION.

1. Among Burgundians we wish it to be observed that if anyone does not leave a son, let a daughter succeed to the inheritance of the father and mother in place of the son.

2. If by chance the dead leave neither son nor daughter, let the inheritance go to the sisters or nearest relatives.

3. It is pleasing that it be contained in the present law that if a woman having a husband dies without children, the husband of the dead wife may not demand back the marriage price (pretium) which had been given for her.

4. Likewise, let neither the woman nor the relatives of the woman seek back that which a woman pays when she comes to her husband if the husband dies without children.

5. Concerning those women who are vowed to God and remain in chastity, we order that if they have two brothers they receive a third portion of the inheritance of the father, that is, of that land which the father, possessing by the right of sors (allotment), left at the time of his death. Likewise, if she has four or five brothers, let her receive the portion due to her.

6. If moreover she has but one brother, let not a half, but a third part go to her on the condition that, after the death of her who is a woman and a nun, whatever she possesses in usufruct from her father’s property shall go to the nearest relatives, and she will have no power of transferring anything therefrom, unless perhaps from her mother’s goods, that is, from her clothing or things of the cell (rescellulae), or what she has acquired by her own labor.

7. We decree that this should be observed only by those whose fathers have not given them portions; but if they shall have received from their father a place where they can live, let them have full freedom of disposing of it at their will.

XV OF STARTING A FIGHT.

1. If any freeborn Burgundian enters another’s house to fight, let him pay six solidi to him to whom the house belongs; and let the fine be twelve solidi. Furthermore we wish this to be observed equally among Burgundians and Romans.

2. Indeed if a slave enters another’s house by force or violence, let him receive a hundred blows for punishment, and let the master of the slave suffer no loss on that account.

XVI OF HUNTING FOR ANIMALS.

1. If anyone has followed the tracks of an animal, and following those tracks comes to another’s house, and if he to whose house he comes prohibits his entering the house to seek back his property, let him who drives him away from his house when he is making inquiry about that which he seeks back be held for punishment as a thief, with the further provision that it is not permitted a woman to deny questioning (to refuse to reply to an inquiry).

2. But if perhaps a slave or a maidservant prohibits this when his or her master is absent, let him who prohibits it be held by law liable to punishment as a thief.

3. If there is a way-pointer (tracker, veius) present and he has received his payment (vegiatura) and he to whom he points the way is not able to find them (the animals), let the way-pointer (tracker, veius) pay for the theft in fee simple because he lies that he has pointed the way to them.

19 Rescellulae seems to be a compound of res and cellulae. Res is the classical Latin “things” while cellula is vulgar Latin for “nun’s room” or “apartment.” So rescellulae may mean “things” or “trappings of the cell.”

20 Cf. DuCange, op. cit., VI, 753–54. The word vegius seems to refer to some type of soothsayer, prophet, or diviner (harioli, vates, divini) whom the Saxons call vigleri and the Germans viclers, whence viglias means soothsayers, for these consult the auspices to determine whether slaves and animals have been taken away by theft so that they might point out where they are. The payment for providing this information is called vegiaturum. Others deduce a meaning from the Saxon word veg or vaeg, which means a road, thus they are road-pointers (vegii) who point out the tracks of animals. Cf. XCV.
XVII OF OTHER CASES AND THE REMOVAL OF LIABILITY FOR PUNISHMENT.

1. All cases which involve Burgundians and which were not completed before the Battle of Chalons\(^{21}\) are declared dismissed.

2. If anyone shall identify his slave or maidservant, let him receive him back.\(^{22}\)

3. For a freeman killed previously twenty *solidi* may be imposed and let all further prosecution cease.

4. And also we wish it to be particularly observed, that if any Burgundian has been twice admonished for any cause by him who brings a case against him that he should come to court and provide a guarantor (oath-taker)\(^{23}\) and, if he does not provide a guarantor (oath-taker) or come to court, and the summoner is able to prove this fact with two or three native freeborn witnesses, let him (the man who refused to come to court) pay a fine of six *solidi* and nonetheless be compelled to come to judgment.

5. With the further condition that a Roman freeman who has a case with a barbarian (serf) should admonish either his master or his overseer (actor), and if the overseer shall not come after he has been admonished repeatedly (twice?) to reply to the charge in behalf of the serf (*originarius*) committed to him, let the overseer receive a hundred blows.

XVIII OF THOSE THINGS WHICH HAPPEN BY CHANCE.

1. If any animal by chance, or if any dog by bite, causes death to a man, we order that among Burgundians the ancient rule of blame\(^{24}\) be removed henceforth: because what happens by chance ought not to conduce to the loss or discomfiture of man. So that if among animals, a horse kills a horse unexpectedly, or an ox gores an ox, or a dog gnaws a dog, so that it is crippled, let the owner hand over the animal or dog through which the loss is seen to have been committed to him who suffers the loss.\(^{25}\)

2. In truth, if a lance or any kind of weapon shall have been thrown upon the ground or set there without intent to do harm (*simpliciter*), and if by accident a man or animal impales himself thereupon, we order that he to whom the weapon belongs shall pay nothing unless by chance he held the weapon in his own hands in such a manner that it could cause harm to a man.\(^{26}\)

XIX OF THE REMOVAL OF PLEDGES AND GUARANTORS (OATHTAKERS).

1. If anyone removes pledges of any sort whatsoever before the hearing, let him lose his case, and let him pay a fine of twelve *solidi*.

---

\(^{21}\) The Battle of Chalons or of Mauriac (the *Lex Gundobada* refers to it as *pugna Mauriacensis*) is the battle which took place in 451 in which a federate army of Romans and barbarians under Aetius faced an invasion of the Huns who, under their leader, Attila, were threatening to subjugate all of Gaul. To face this threat, Aetius got together an army consisting of imperial troops and Franks, Burgundians, Bretons, and Visigoths. The Huns were turned back at Chalons, but Theodoric, king of the Visigoths and the most influential of the barbarian leaders at this time, was killed. That the date 451 seemed an important one to the barbarians is attested by the fact that the year 451 is a delimiting date in the *Lex Gundobada* and in the Visigothic code of Euric. Cf. *Frag. Visig. (Antiqua)*, ed. Bluhme, p. 4.

\(^{22}\) Davoud-Oghlou suggests that a master may seek back such an identified slave even when the loss of the slave dated prior to the Battle of Chalons. Cf. Davoud-Oghlou, *op. cit.*, I, 420 (L, 48).

\(^{23}\) *fideiuusor*, Roman technical term, meaning something like our “surety.” Whether the word is meant here in its Roman technical sense or a different technical sense more like that of the mainpernor or pledge of the English common law is a matter about which we may have some doubt. Ed.

\(^{24}\) By the phrase “the ancient rule of blame” [*inter Burgundiones antiquam calumniam*] perhaps this statute is referring to the earlier customary law of the Burgundians that was in effect among the people of that tribe before they entered the Roman Empire and came into contact with the written Roman law. Perhaps this is a reference to the early Germanic *faida* or law of revenge, for our law here seems to imply that under the “ancient rule of blame” a man was held personally accountable for the actions of his animals. Cf. *ibid.*, I (C, 2).

\(^{25}\) De Salis, *op. cit.*, p. 56, n. 3, suggests that it is probable that the legislator wished to follow the Roman law in this instance since he states specifically that the ancient Burgundian law has been abolished or replaced.

\(^{26}\) Cf. XII Tables, VIII.24a: “If a javelin flies from the hand more than he threw it, a ram is substituted [i.e., for the execution of the person who threw the javelin].” It is just possible that some of the Romans living in Burgundy knew of this provision. Ed.
2. If anyone takes another’s horse as if identified for his own, and is not able to prove it his, let him be sentenced to the loss of another (additional) horse of like worth.

3. If anyone receives pledges (pigneraverit) (from a third party) in behalf of him with whom he thinks he has a case and he does not have a case with that person, if he has taken horses or oxen or seized a bondservant, let him pay two solidi for each bondservant and animal (and return the pledges).  

4. If he has taken a native freeborn person as a pledge, let him pay four solidi for this presumption.

5. If anyone is a guarantor (oath-taker) for his relative, or friend, or for anyone for any debt whatsoever, and if he (the debtor) who has departed while under oath (pledge) has been warned three times in the presence of witnesses, and if he (the debtor), still under pledge after this warning, has been convicted of removing the pledges of his guarantor (oath-taker) by force, so that it was necessary that the guarantor (oath-taker) be bound and forced to pay the debt from his own property, then let whatever it has been established that the guarantor (oath-taker) paid in this case be rendered threefold by him in whose behalf he took the oath (or, in whose behalf he gave pledges).

6. The manner of giving pledges shall be this: let the guarantor (oath-taker) raise a third part more than the sum of the debt (i.e., the total debt) and let him make declaration to the debtor in the presence of witnesses. But if when the debt has been paid, he (the guarantor) shall not take back his pledges within three months thereafter, he shall no longer have the right (pontificium) of seeking them back.

7. If he who offers a guarantor (oath-taker) does not have the wherewith to pay, let the guarantor (oath-taker) hand the debtor over to the creditor to clear himself, and let nothing else be required from the guarantor (oath-taker).

8. But if he has failed to pay what it has been established that he owes (quod placitum est), and it shall be necessary that the guarantor (oath-taker) be bound and compelled to pay the debt from his own property, let satisfaction be received by the guarantor who offered the oath (or pledges) threefold whatever it is established he has paid in this case.

9. If any guarantor (oath-taker) hands over a debtor’s property to him to whom the guarantor (oath-taker) has given pledges, let him bring it up to the creditor’s house in his own defense (to satisfy his obligation); if he does not do it, let him not be wholly released from his obligation.

10. If a guarantor (oath-taker) does not wish to render satisfaction in a debtor’s behalf, under circumstances where it shall be necessary that the guarantor (oath-taker) pledge his own property, and if the guarantor (oath-taker) wishes to resist, let him make satisfaction (to the creditor) from his own property in such amount as may be demanded from him on account of his oath (i.e., as due on his oath).

11. If a debtor places a guarantor (oath-taker) under pledge and he (the debtor) in whose behalf the guarantor (oath-taker) has given pledges shall believe that the pledges of his guarantor (oath-taker) or his own pledges, if the guarantor (oath-taker) has given them, may be taken away or destroyed, let him pay ninefold the amount he is convicted of taking away and let him pay a fine in the sum of twelve solidi.

XX OF THEFTS COMMITTED BY FUGITIVES.

1. If any slave has fled, and while in flight steals any ornaments, clothes, or anything belonging to anyone and takes them with him, let none of these things be sought back from the master of the slave; provided only that if the master is able to recall him from his flight and the slave is convicted of having stolen anything, let him (the master) make restitution in fee simple.

2. If a slave has committed the theft while under the dominion (in obsequio) of his master, and if he flees after committing the theft, let his master prove by oaths that he should not be held liable to punishment.

---

27 The law does not specifically state “and return the pledges,” but it is obviously implied. Cf. Davoud-Oghlou, op. cit., I, 450 (T, 4).

28 For this unusual meaning of the word pontificium as potestas or jus, cf. DuCange, op. cit., V, 347. See also Title XL, 1.
either for the theft or for the flight of the slave because of his own knowledge about the matter. But when he has given oath, nothing may then be sought from the master of the slave.

3. Indeed, those who seize fugitives must send them back to their masters; and besides that *solidus* which is due for a fugitive within the realm, he shall receive a *solidus* for a journey of one hundred miles\(^{29}\) on which he has sent some messenger or brought news of the matter himself.

4. But if he does not deliver him and the fugitive escapes, and if he has not delivered him within thirty days, let him absolve himself either by oaths as stated above, or let him pay fifteen *solidi* for the fugitive.

**XXI OF CONTRACTS ENTERED INTO BY SLAVES.**

1. If anyone, Burgundian as well as Roman, lends money to a serf or slave without consulting his master, let him lose the money.

2. Indeed whoever has permitted a slave to exercise his assigned occupation in public as gold, silver, iron, or bronze smith, tailor or shoemaker, and perchance the slave destroys what he has received from anyone to work upon, let his master render satisfaction for the same, or if he chooses, let him give up the servant to the man who has suffered loss.

**XXII OF THE ABOLITION OF THE ADVOCACY (Patrocinium)\(^{30}\) OF BARBARIANS IN LAWSUITS INVOLVING ROMANS.**

Whatever Roman hands over a case which he has with another Roman to be transacted by a Burgundian as advocate, let him lose the case, and let him who receives it pay a fine in the amount of twelve *solidi*.

**XXIII OF INJURIES WHICH ARE CAUSED BY ANIMALS.**

1. If a man has enclosed or shut away an animal from his crops or from any place where it can do damage, and if the man to whom the animals belong drives them by force out of the courtyard of him who confined them as a voluntary act of presumption before the value of the damage done has been established, let him pay six *solidi* to him against whom he used force and let there be a fine of six *solidi* for the estimated loss. If a slave does this, let him receive a hundred blows; likewise concerning the estimated loss.

2. If any animal is impaled while being driven from a field, from a meadow, from a vineyard, or from a wheat field, let nothing be required from him who was driving it away.

3. But if a man undertakes to remove animals belonging to himself from a field or from any place while they are being guarded in an enclosure because of the damage they have done, let him pay a single *tremissis* for each animal, and let the amount of the fine be three *solidi*.

4. If anyone’s pigs have done damage in a vineyard, in the meadows, in the tilled fields, or in the acorn-bearing forests, and the master of the pigs has been warned twice that he must guard his pigs, and he does not wish to, let him to whom they did the damage have the power to kill the best from the herd of pigs and turn it to his own use.

5. But if there is no contest (i.e., the master has not been warned), and so the man damaged kills the pig, let him pay a *solidus* for the pig, with the further provision that that which the pigs have destroyed will be compounded.

\(^{29}\) The Latin here is not clear as to whether it means that the man will receive a *solidus* for each hundred miles which he travels, or whether he will receive a *solidus* for a journey of a hundred miles or more. The first alternative seems the more probable.

\(^{30}\) The *patrocinium* or *advocatus* represents a wide variety of legal powers. The advocate was originally a person called or summoned (*advocatus*) by the injured party to assist at court, one who might exercise a moral influence on the judge because of his position or prestige. This advocacy was termed *patrocinium* in cases where the patron of an enfranchised serf acted as such an advocate. The custom of appearing and speaking in behalf of the client became established among the advocates and patrons who knew the laws better, owing to their social position and the fact that they had more talent in pleading cases before the courts. The personal relationship between patron and client involved in the *patrocinium* is generally regarded as one of the basic legal institutions which underlies the later feudal relation of lord and vassal. Cf. Davoud-Oghlou, *op. cit.*, I, 439, n. 2, and DuCange, *op. cit.*, V, 116.
XXIV OF BURGUNDIAN WOMEN ENTERING A SECOND OR THIRD MARRIAGE.

1. If any Burgundian woman, as is the custom, enters a second or third marriage after the death of her husband, and she has children by each husband, let her possess the marriage gift (**donatio nuptialis**) in usufruct while she lives; after her death, let what his father gave her be given to each son, with the further provision that the mother has the power neither of giving, selling, or transferring any of the things which she received in the marriage gift.

2. If by chance the woman has no children, after her death let her relatives receive half of whatever has come to her by way of marriage gift, and let the relatives of the dead husband who was the donor receive half.

3. But if perchance children shall have been born and they shall have died after the death of their father, we command that the inheritance of the husband or children belong wholly to the mother. Moreover, after the death of the mother, we decree that what she holds in usufruct by inheritance from her children shall belong to the legal heirs of her children. Also we command that she protect the property of her children dying intestate.

4. If any son has given his mother something by will or by gift, let the mother have the power of doing whatever she wishes therewith; if she dies intestate, let the relatives of the woman claim the inheritance as their possession.

5. If any Burgundian has sons (children?) to whom he has given their portions, let him have the power of giving or selling that which he has reserved for himself to whomever he wishes.

XXV OF THEFTS AND ACTS OF VIOLENCE.

1. If anyone enters a garden with violence, let him pay three **solidi** for such presumption to him to whom the garden belongs, and let the fine be six **solidi**.

2. If a slave does this, let him receive a hundred blows.

XXVI OF KNOCKING OUT TEETH.

1. If anyone by chance strikes out the teeth of a Burgundian of the highest class, or of a Roman noble, let him be compelled to pay fifteen **solidi**.

2. For middle-class freeborn people, either Burgundian or Roman, if a tooth is knocked out, let composition be made in the sum of ten **solidi**.

3. For persons of the lowest class, five solidi.\(^{31}\)

4. If a slave voluntarily strikes out the tooth of a native freeman, let him be condemned to have a hand cut off; if the loss which has been set forth above has been committed by accident, let him pay the price for the tooth according to the status of the person.

---

\(^{31}\) The divisions of Burgundian and Roman society in the realm of the Burgundians are not at all clear. In addition to the royal servants and officials mentioned in the preface, *Constitutiones Extravagantes* XXII, 14, and various laws throughout the *Lex Gundobada*, we have a more general division of all society into free and unfree with the *coloni* or *originarii* occupying a halfway position between those who were free and those who were not free. The present law, XXVI, deals with four classes of free men: the highest, middle, and lowest classes of men who were free from birth, and the freedmen or slaves who had been emancipated by their masters or who had earned their freedom in some way. The freedman is obviously quite low in the social scale when we observe these tariffs, but the children of freedmen were regarded as freemen, and even the freedman himself might gain that status following the death of his former master (cf. XL, 2). However, we have no indication as to how the distinctions among the freemen were determined. We may assume that the nobles, the *optimates*, constituted the highest class, but as for the two lower classes, there is no basis for the distinction stated anywhere in the *Lex Gundobada*, although from the tenor of the laws it seems that the middle class was more closely connected with the highest class than with the lowest class. The caste line between the free and unfree was sharply drawn, for the daughter of a freeman who united with a slave faced a penalty of death or perpetual servitude to the king (cf. XXXV, 2, 3), but intermarriage among the classes of freemen was evidently quite common. We have no indication as to what the status of the offspring of such a mixed marriage might be however. The chief distinction between the classes of freemen as far as the materials within the *Lex Gundobada* are concerned is the difference in the wergeld or value attached to the life of each man. Cf. II, 2.
5. If any native freeman strikes out the tooth of a freedman, let him pay him three *solidi*. If he strikes out the tooth of another’s slave, let him pay two *solidi* to him to whom the slave belongs.

XXVII OF BROKEN FENCES, CLOSED ROADS, ALSO THEFTS AND ACTS OF VIOLENCE.

1. If a native freeman breaks and opens another’s fence when subject to no impedient (impairment) therefrom, only for the purpose of causing such damage, let him pay a single *tremissis* for each stake to him to whom the crop belongs; if a slave does this, let him receive a hundred blows, and let the fence which was broken be repaired.

2. We also command this to be observed concerning meadows and vineyards.

3. We wish all to recognize this: whoever blocks a public road (i.e., a main highway) or a country lane, let him know that he must pay a fine of twelve *solidi*, with the further consequence that the fence may be removed and the crops may be destroyed with impunity by travellers to the extent that they occupy space on the highway.

4. If a native freeman breaks another’s fence and lets his horses or animals voluntarily into a field or meadow, he shall pay a single *solidus* for each animal for the damage to the crop or to the meadow.

5. If a slave does this, let him receive a hundred blows; and furthermore, let the damage which was done be compounded by the master of the slave.

6. If he to whom the horses belong is found by the owner of the meadow, and attempts to resist when he is held to an accounting, and if he shall then be slain or injured, let him to whom the field or meadow belongs suffer no loss (legal accountability) therefrom.

7. If anyone has entered another’s vineyard secretly or violently by day so that he causes loss, let him pay three *solidi* for this presumption; if a slave does this, let him be killed.

8. If anyone at night has entered a vineyard bearing fruit and has been killed by the keeper of the vineyard within the vineyard, let nothing be required by the master or relatives of the man killed.

9. If a native freeman steals a ploughshare by theft, let him be compelled to give to the master two oxen with yoke and attachments (harness); if a slave does this, let him receive one hundred fifty blows of a stick.

XXVIII OF THE PRIVILEGE OF CUTTING WOOD GRANTED IN COMMON.

1. If any Burgundian or Roman does not have forest land, let him have the right to cut wood for his own use from fallen trees or trees without fruit in anyone’s forest, and let him not be driven away by the owner of the forest.

2. If perchance anyone fells a fruit-bearing tree in another’s forest without the owner’s permission, let him pay the owner of the forest one *solidus* for each tree he has cut. We command this to be observed also with regard to pines and fir trees. But if a slave does this, let him be beaten and let his master suffer no loss or blame.

3. If anyone does not permit a man to take the wood necessary for his use from the fallen trees or those not bearing fruit, and if the man seeking wood offers pledges to him, let the pledges be returned threefold, and let a fine of six *solidi* be paid.

XXIX OF THOSE COMMITTING ASSAULT AND BREACH OF THE PEACE.

1. If anyone in an act of assault or robbery kills a merchant or anyone else, let him be killed; with the further condition that if those things which he took cannot be found, let them be compensated in fee simple from his property.

2. But if a man committing an assault shall have been killed by those whom he intended to rob, let no suit be brought for this reason against the killers by the master or relatives of the man killed.

3. We order all lawbreakers who plunder houses or treasure chests to be killed.
XXX OF WOMEN VIOLATED.

1. Whatever native freeman does violence to a maidservant, and force can be proved, let him pay twelve *solidi* to him to whom the maidservant belongs.

2. If a slave does this, let him receive a hundred fifty blows.

XXXI OF PLANTING VINEYARDS.

1. Among Burgundians and Romans, we order that the rule be observed that whoever plants a vineyard in a common field with no opposition shall restore a like field to him in whose holding he placed the vineyard.

2. If indeed after prohibition anyone presumes to plant a vineyard in another’s field, let him lose his labor, and let him whose field it is receive the vineyard.

XXXII OF HIM WHO HAS BOUND A MAN ILLEGALLY OR WITHOUT CAUSE.

1. If a native freeman binds an innocent native freeman, let him pay twelve *solidi* to him whom he bound, and let the amount of the fine be twelve *solidi*.

2. If he binds a freedman, let him pay six *solidi* to him whom he bound, and let the amount of the fine be six *solidi*.

3. If he binds a slave, let him pay him three *solidi*, and let the amount of the fine be three *solidi*.

4. If a slave does this, let him receive a hundred blows.

XXXIII OF INJURIES WHICH ARE SUFFERED BY WOMEN.

1. If any native freewoman has her hair cut off and is humiliated without cause (when innocent) by any native freeman in her home or on the road, and this can be proved with witnesses, let the doer of the deed pay her twelve *solidi*, and let the amount of the fine be twelve *solidi*.

2. If this was done to a freedwoman, let him pay her six *solidi*.

3. If this was done to a maidservant, let him pay her three *solidi*, and let the amount of the fine be three *solidi*.

4. If this injury (shame, disgrace) is inflicted by a slave on a native freewoman, let him receive two hundred blows; if a freedwoman, let him receive a hundred blows; if a maidservant, let him receive seventy-five blows.

5. If indeed the woman whose injury we have ordered to be punished in this manner commits fornication voluntarily (i.e., if she yields), let nothing be sought for the injury suffered.

XXXIV OF DIVORCES.

1. If any woman leaves (puts aside) her husband to whom she is legally married, let her be smothered in mire.

2. If anyone wishes to put away his wife without cause, let him give her another payment such as he gave for her marriage price, and let the amount of the fine be twelve *solidi*.

3. If by chance a man wishes to put away his wife, and is able to prove one of these three crimes against her, that is, adultery, witchcraft, or violation of graves, let him have full right to put her away: and let the judge pronounce the sentence of the law against her, just as should be done against criminals.

4. But if she admits none of these three crimes, let no man be permitted to put away his wife for any other crime. But if he chooses, he may go away from the home, leaving all household property behind, and his wife with their children may possess the property of her husband.

XXXV OF THE PUNISHMENT OF SLAVES WHO COMMIT A CRIMINAL ASSAULT ON FREEBORN WOMEN.

1. If any slave does violence to a native freewoman, and if she complains and is clearly able to prove this, let the slave be killed for the crime committed.

2. If indeed a native free girl unites voluntarily with a slave, we order both to be killed.
3. But if the relatives of the girl do not wish to punish their own relative, let the girl be deprived of her free status and delivered into servitude to the king.

XXXVI OF INCESTUOUS ADULTERY.

If anyone has been taken in adultery with his relative or with his wife’s sister, let him be compelled to pay her wergeld, according to her status, to him who is the nearest relative of the woman with whom he committed adultery; and let the amount of the fine be twelve solidi. Further, we order the adulteress to be placed in servitude to the king.

XXXVII OF DRAWN SWORDS.

Anyone who draws out his sword or dagger for striking another, and does not strike him, let him pay a fine of twelve solidi. If he strikes him, let him likewise pay twelve solidi and be judged according to the inflicted wound.

XXXVIII OF THE REFUSAL OF HOSPITALITY\(^{32}\) TOWARD LEGATES OF FOREIGN TRIBES AND TRAVELERS.

1. Whoever refuses his roof or hearth to a guest on arrival, let him be fined three solidi for the neglect.

2. If a member of the royal court be refused, let the amount of the fine be six solidi.

3. We wish it to be observed concerning the legates of foreign tribes, that wherever they take quarters, they have the right to expect one pig and one sheep; and let him who prohibits this from being done be compelled to pay a fine of six solidi.

4. And let him who made gifts to the legates be compensated by those who live within the boundaries of his village.

5. Moreover, if in the wintertime a legate asks for hay or barley, let this likewise be provided by those dwelling within the bounds of the village, Burgundian as well as Roman, without any refusal. We order this to be observed especially by people of higher rank.

6. If, however, he is a person who has been the beneficiary of our largesse and so can receive a legate, let him prepare a suitable lodging for a night for the legate at his own expense. But if he does not do this, let him know that a fine of twelve solidi must be paid.

7. If a man making a journey on private business comes to the house of a Burgundian and seeks hospitality and the latter directs him to the house of a Roman, and this can be proved, let the Burgundian pay three solidi to him to whose house he directed the traveler, and let the amount of the fine be three solidi.

8. If anyone seeks hospitality on the royal domain or at the dwelling of a serf (colonus)\(^{33}\) and it is not granted, let the serf be beaten.

9. If moreover the guest destroys something insolently, let him restore it ninefold.

\(^{32}\) Hospitality here is used in the more general or common sense meaning that reception or kindness which is shown to a temporary guest. We have here something which resembles the later feudal duty of entertainment required of a vassal or a tenant. *Hospitalitas* was not only permitted to officials who were in truste of the king, but it was also extended to legates from abroad charged with missions to the king. All such persons enjoyed the full hospitality which the law imposed on the inhabitants of the districts through which they travelled. Cf. Davoud-Oghlou, op. cit., I, 446. Indeed, the principle of *hospitalitas* seems to have been extended to all persons such as royal officers and personal legates who may be regarded as in truste of the king. These officials are apparently the Burgundian equivalents of the *antrustiones* among the Franks. Most of these officials were not only associated with the judicial system but also charged with administrative and police duties; furthermore in time of war these trusted personal servants of the king acted as officers in command of the military forces, that is, the same officials acting in their military capacity in war may be described as in hoste, whereas in time of peace, they were declared to be in truste in the sense of persons in the intimate personal retinue of the king who enjoyed his trust and confidence.

\(^{33}\) Among the Merovingian Franks and probably also among the Burgundians, the *coloni* were lower in the social scale than the actually free men. The *coloni* were freeborn persons and were recognized as persons before the law, but they enjoyed only a limited freedom. They held land from which they could not be ejected, but which they might not leave. Even though they were left unharassed when they paid certain dues and performed certain duties, the fact that they were enrolled on the land deprived them of real freedom. Cf. Gregory of Tours, *The History of the Franks*, translation and introduction by O. M. Dalton, I, 391.
10. If there is a steward (conductor) in the village who is a native freeman, and he does not provide roof or hearth, let him pay a fine of three solidi. If he is a slave, let him be beaten.

11. We wish these things to be observed by the serfs and slaves of all Burgundians and Romans.

XXXIX OF RECEIVING STRANGERS.

1. If anyone receives a stranger coming to him from any nation, let him present him to the judge for investigation so that he may confess under torture to whom he belongs.

2. But if anyone does not do this within seven days, and the matter is learned by the master of the slave, let him with whom the slave has been found be held to a triple payment of the slave’s wergeld; those slaves are excepted who have been carried away into captivity and would return to their masters, relatives, and homes.

3. If however a stranger shall have been received or concealed by the agent or serf of anyone whomsoever without the master’s knowledge, let the agent or serf receive three hundred blows; and let the master support by oaths that he had no knowledge of the hiding place of the fugitive.

4. Thirdly, let the same condition be observed in the case of a bondservant as with captives, to the end that he to whom he came may not conceal him, but immediately take action to restore him to his master. But if he does not do this, let him restore the bondservant whom he had withheld to the detriment of his master with a double wergeld payment to the master.

5. But if a slave, unknown to his master, is convicted in the retention of a bondservant, let him receive two hundred blows.

XL OF MANUMISSIONS.

1. If any Burgundian gives his liberty to a bondservant under his jurisdiction, and if upon the occasion of a slight offense he thinks the servant ought to be recalled into servitude, let the manumitter know that he is denied this privilege by the present law; nor can the manumitter recall him to his original condition, unless by chance he has been convicted before a judge of having committed such acts to the loss and disgrace of his manumitter that he should deservedly lose the liberty conferred on him. Further, we order that the right (ponificium) of this action be permitted to manumitters only in the case of their own freedmen.

2. Let the heirs of him by whom the bondservant has been freed know that in any lawsuit whatsoever the freedman of their father must be regarded as a freeman.

XLI OF CROPS BURNED BY FIRE.

1. If anyone makes a fire in a clearing, and the fire, with no wind driving it, runs over the land and comes to another’s fence or field, let whatever has been burned by it be replaced by him who started the fire.

2. If indeed the force of the wind takes the fire to another’s fence or field, let restitution for the damage which has been suffered not be sought from him who started the fire.

XLII OF THE INHERITANCE OF THOSE WHO DIE WITHOUT CHILDREN.

1. Although we have ordered many things in former laws concerning the inheritance of those who die without children, nevertheless after considering the matter thoroughly, we perceive it to be just that some of those things which were ordered before should be corrected. Therefore we decree in the present constitution that if a woman whose husband has died without children has not taken her vows a second time, let her possess securely a third of all the property of her husband to the day of her death; with the further provision that after her death, all will revert to the legitimate heirs of her husband.

34 Beyerle, op. cit., p. 61, suggests the translation, “If any Burgundian gives the freedom of his tribal law to a bondservant, and if...”


36 Cf. XIV, 2.
2. Let that remain in effect which has been stated previously concerning the morning gift (morgengeba, morginegiva).\(^{37}\) For if she wishes to marry within a year from the time of the death of her first husband, let her have full right to do so, but let her give up that third part of the property which she had been permitted to possess. However, if she wishes to take a husband after a year or two have passed, let her give up all as has been stated above which she received from her first husband, and let the heirs in whose portion the inheritance of her former husband belongs receive the price which must be paid for her (second) marriage.

Given in council at Ambérieux, September 3rd (501), Abienus vir clarissimus being consul.

XLIII OF GIFTS.

1. Although our law prescribes many things concerning gifts set forth in earlier times, nevertheless because some things arise under the same heading concerning which the law is not clearly established, it is necessary that by the addition of the present law those things be defined which were formerly omitted. And therefore in this decree gifts and wills made among our people become valid provided five or seven witnesses to the gift or will append their marks and signatures so far as they are able.

2. But if a lesser number of witnesses is proved to have been present, let the gift which has been made, or the will which has been devised, be invalid.

3. Indeed in other instances, that is in lesser matters, we order three appropriate witnesses to be admitted.

4. And in other matters concerning wills and gifts, let the procedure established above be observed.

XLIV OF THE ADULTERY OF GIRLS AND WIDOWS.

1. If the daughter of any native Burgundian before she is given in marriage unites herself secretly and disgracefully in adultery with either barbarian or Roman, and if afterward she brings a complaint, and the act is established as charged, let him who has been accused of her corruption, and as has been said, is convicted with certain proof, suffer no defamation of character (calumnia) upon payment of fifteen solidi. She indeed, defeated in her purpose by the vileness of her conduct, shall sustain the disgrace of lost chastity.

2. But if a widow who has not been sought, but rather overcome by desire, unites with anyone, and she bursts forth in an accusing voice, let her not receive the stated number of solidi, and we order that she, demanding marriage thus, be not awarded to him to whom she has joined herself in such a disgraceful manner, because it is just that she, defeated by her vile conduct, is worthy of neither matrimony nor reward.

XLV. OF THOSE WHO DENY THOSE THINGS CHARGED AGAINST THEM, AND OFFER OATHS\(^{38}\)

We know that many of our people are corrupted through inability to establish a case and because of instinct of greed, so that they do not hesitate frequently to offer oaths about uncertain matters and likewise to perjure themselves about known matters. To break up this criminal practice, we decree by the present law that as often as a case shall arise among our people and he who has been accused denies by offering oaths that that which is sought is owed by him, and that that has been done which is charged, it is fitting that an end be made to their litigation in this manner: if the party to whom oath has been offered does not wish to receive the oath, but shall say that the truthfulness of his adversary can be demonstrated only by resort to arms, and the second party (the one accused) shall not yield (the case charged), let the right of combat not be refused; with the further provision that one of the same witnesses who came to give oath shall fight, God.

\(^{37}\) The word pretium is used to express the idea of a price or wergeld of the woman, but it also refers to the present made at the time of the marriage. Title XLII, 2, apparently defines the morgengaba as pretium quod de nuptiis inferendum est. This definition of pretium in the law seems to indicate that pretium uxoris, pretium de nuptiis, morgengaba, and donatio nuptialis designate the same general idea. However there are concrete distinctions: the morgengaba designates the present made the day after the wedding night; pretium uxoris is probably the price or wergeld of the woman, the donatio nuptialis is a present which is made at the occasion of the wedding by the parents of the husband to the woman, and by her parents to the husband; the pretium de nuptiis seems to be a general expression which includes the wiffimon, donatio, and morgengaba. The wiffimon is a payment made by the husband to the father of the bride and may correspond to the pretium uxoris or nuptiale pretium. For pretium nuptiale, see XII, 4; LII, 3, LXI; for donatio nuptialis, see XXIV, 1, 2; for morgengaba, see XLII, 2, for wiffimon, see LXIX; LXXXVI, 2; and CI. Cf. Davoud-Oghlou, op. cit., I, 430, n. 2.

being the judge. For it is just that if anyone shall say without delay that he knows the truth of the matter and shall offer to take oath, he should not hesitate to fight. But if the witness of him who offered oath was overcome in combat, let all witnesses who promised that they would take oath be compelled to pay a fine of three hundred *solidi* without any grant of delay. But if he who refused to receive the oath (the accuser) shall have been killed, let the victorious party be repaid ninefold the sum (debt) involved taken from his property (i.e., from the property of the dead man) as damages, so that as a result, one may delight in truth rather than falsehood.

Given the 28th of May (502) at Lyons, Abienus *vir clarissimus* being consul.

[The Code continues through no. 105, and some mss. also add four *Constitutiones Extravagantes*. See the Contents.]

**b. NOTES ON THE BURGUNDIAN “CODE”**

1. *Titles in the Lex Romana Burgundionum* (the Roman Law of the Burgundians; *L.R.B*), compared to the *Lex Burgundionum* (the Law of the Burgundians, above; *L.B.*):

   - L.R.B. 1. Concerning the gift of father or mother or the munificence of lords
   - L.B. 1. Of the privilege of bestowing gifts permitted to fathers, and concerning royal gifts and gratuities
   - L.R.B. 2. Concerning homicides
   - L.B. 2. Of murders
   - L.R.B. 3. Concerning grants of freedom (*libertatibus*) [to slaves]
   - L.B. 3. Of the emancipation of our slaves
   - L.R.B. 4. Concerning enticements [of slaves] and thefts
   - L.B. 4. Of solicitations and thefts
   - L.R.B. 5. Concerning admitted injuries (*iniuriis*) and blows
   - L.B. 5. Of those who strike others with lash or rod, with a kick or with a blow of the fist
   - L.R.B. 6. Concerning fugitives to be sought out and debated about
   - L.B. 6. Of fugitives
   - L.R.B. 7. Concerning the objection (?accusation) of crimes and inscriptions of free persons or slaves
   - L.B. 7. Of slaves (*servi*) and serfs (*originaarii*) who are accused of crimes
   - L.B. 8. Of the commission of crimes which are charged against native freemen
   - L.B. 8. Concerning acts of violence
   - L.B. 9. Of acts of violence
   - L.B. 9. Concerning the seizures (rapes) of virgins and widows
   - L.B. 10. Let Burgundians and Romans be held under the same condition in the matter of killing slaves
   - L.B. 11. Of inflicted wounds
   - L.B. 12. Of the stealing of girls
   - L.B. 13. Of clearings (*exartii*)
   - L.B. 10. Concerning different successions
   - L.B. 14. Of succession
   - L.B. 11. Concerning the stirring up of quarrels
   - L.B. 15. Of starting a fight
   - L.B. 12. Concerning the seekings out of animals and things
   - L.B. 16. Of hunting for animals [better trans. of “seeking out animals”]
L.R.B. 13. Concerning the damages of animals or if anything is done by them by accident
L.B. 17. Of other cases and the removal of liability for punishment
L.B. 18. Of those things which happen by chance
L.R.B. 14. Concerning pledges and sureties that have been removed
L.B. 19. Of the removal of pledges and guarantors (oath-takers)
L.R.B. 15. Concerning the thefts of fugitives
L.B. 20. Of the thefts committed by fugitives
L.B. 21. Of contracts entered into by slaves
L.B. 22. Of the abolition of the advocacy (patrocinium) of barbarians in lawsuits involving Romans
L.B. 23. Of injuries which are caused by animals
L.R.B. 16. Concerning women who go to second or third marriages
L.B. 24. Of Burgundian women entering a second or third marriage
L.R.B. 17. Concerning rights-of-way or other servitudes blocked off
L.B. 27. Of broken fences, closed roads, also thefts and acts of violence [note out of order]
L.B. 28. Of the privilege of cutting wood granted in common
L.R.B. 18. Concerning attackers by surprise and burglars
L.B. 25. Of the thefts and acts of violence
L.B. 26. Of knocking out teeth
L.B. 29. Of those committing assault and breach of the peace
L.R.B. 19. Concerning women who have been corrupted
L.B. 30. Of women violated
L.B. 31. Of planting vineyards
L.R.B. 20. Concerning those who bind a man unlawfully and without cause
L.B. 32. Of him who has bound a man illegally or without cause
L.B. 33. Of injuries which are suffered by women
L.R.B. 21. Concerning divorces
L.B. 34. Of divorces
L.B. 35. Of the punishment of slaves who commit a criminal assault on freeborn women
L.B. 36. Of incestuous adultery
L.B. 37. Of drawn swords
L.B. 38. Of refusal of hospitality toward legates of foreign tribes and travellers
L.B. 39. Of receiving strangers
L.B. 40. Of manumissions
L.B. 41. Of crops burned by fire
L.R.B. 22. Concerning gifts
L.B. 43. Concerning gifts [out of order]
L.R.B. 23. Concerning oaths
L.B. 45. Of those who deny those things charged against them, and offer oaths
L.R.B. 24. Concerning robbers who have been convicted
L.B. 46. Of the condemnation of theives, of their wives, and of their children
L.R.B. 25. Concerning adulteries
L.B. 44. Of the adultery of girls and widows
L.R.B. 26. Concerning those who do not hand over the things owed of the portion of sons from the maternal goods
L.B. 51. Of those who do not give their sons the portions of their property due to them
L.R.B. 27. Concerning espoused girls and women
L.B. 52. Of betrothed women who, incited by desire, go to consort with others
L.R.B. 28. Concerning sorrowful inheritances
L.B. 42. Of the inheritance of those who die without children [out of order]
L.R.B. 29. Concerning horses [a vulgar word] whose bone or shin or tail is bound
L.B. 58. Of horses which have bones or sticks tied to their tails
L.R.B. 30. Concerning apparitors
L.R.B. 31. Concerning the prescription of times
L.B. 79. Of prescription
L.R.B. 32. Concerning falsifiers and false witnesses
L.B. 80. Of those bearing false witness and false accusers
L.R.B. 33. Concerning interpellations and appeals
L.B. 81. Of future appeals before judges
L.R.B. 34. Concerning things acknowledged
L.R.B. 35. Concerning sales
L.R.B. 36. Concerning the tutelage of minors
L.B. 85. Of wards
L.R.B. 37. Concerning lawful nuptials or natural children
L.R.B. 38. Concerning pacts or cessions this form is to be kept
L.R.B. 39. Concerning boundary-markers crossed over or broken up
L.R.B. 40. No one can buy without tax or the rest (?back taxes)
L.R.B. 41. Concerning the right of postlimium [return of captives]
L.R.B. 42. Let no one be a judge in his own cause
L.R.B. 43. That no one pretend the name of more powerful men in litigation or falsify the titles of his land

Cf. L.B. 22. Of the abolition of the advocacy (patrocinium) of barbarians in lawsuits involving Romans
L.R.B. 44. Concerning the reason for granting freedom or concerning the works of freed men
Cf. L.B. 40. Of manumissions
L.R.B. 45. Concerning testaments
Cf. L.B. 43. Of gifts
L.R.B. 46. Concerning the true condition or recognition of public bodies
L.R.B. 47. Concerning partners (consortibus)

2. Some Specific Comparisons of the two laws:
   (a) L.R.B. tit. 2.1:
“A man who commits homicide, be he freeborn or slave, if they are [sic] found outside of a church, shall be condemned to death”

L.B. tit. 2.1 (above, p. III–23):

“If anyone presumes with boldness or rashness bent on injury to kill a native freeman of our people of any nation or a servant of the king, in any case a man of a barbarian tribe, let him make restitution for the committed crime not otherwise than by the shedding of his own blood.”

(b) L.R.B. tit. 7.1:

(This is pretty confused in the Latin, but it contains a reference to C.Th. 9.1.14. That passage is reasonably clear, and what it says is that if someone accuses someone else of a crime, he will not be allowed to proceed, or even to proceed with the torture of slaves for evidence, until the accuser binds himself to suffer the same penalty (if he loses the case). This is probably what L.R.B. 7.1 means too, and it contains the key phrase “if proof should fail,” at least suggesting that the writer of the L.R.B. is still thinking of a Roman trial with proof by witnesses and instruments in writing, and lawyers’ arguments about them. The provision in the Theodosian Code seems to be confined to capital cases, or at least, serious crimes. The same may be case with the provision in the L.R.B., though this is less clear.)

L.B. tit. 8 (above, p. III–26):

“1. If a native freeman, either barbarian or Roman, is accused of a crime through suspicion, let him render oath, and let him swear with his wife and sons and twelve relatives: if indeed he does not have wife and sons and he has mother or father, let him complete the designated number with father and mother. But if he has neither father nor mother, let him complete the oath with twelve relatives.

“2. But if he who must take oath wishes to take it with raised hand (de manu), and if those who are ordered to hear the oath—those three whom we always command to be delegated by the judges for hearing an oath—before they enter the church declare they do not wish to receive the oath, then he who was about to take oath is not permitted to do so after this statement, but they (the judges, or, perhaps, the delegates) are hereby directed by us to commit the matter to the judgment of God (i.e., to ordeal).

“3. If however, having received permission, he has taken the oath, and if he has been convicted after the oath, let him know that he must make restitution by a ninefold payment (in novigildo) to those in whose presence the judge ordered him to give his oath.

“4. But if they (those appointed to hear the oath) fail to come to the place on the appointed day, and if they shall not have been detained by any illness or public duty, let them pay a fine of six solidi. But if they were detained by any illness or duty, let them make this known to the judge or send other persons in their place whom they can trust to receive the oath for them.

“5. If moreover he who is about to take the oath does not come to the place, let the other party wait until the sixth hour of the day; but if he has not come by the sixth hour, let the case be dismissed without delay.

“6. But if the other (the accusing party) does not come, let him who was about to take the oath depart without loss.”

(c) L.R.B. tit. 12:

“12.1 If any freeman prohibits someone who is seeking his animals or his things from entering his house to investigate, let him be held for theft, so that the thing which is being sought be paid for four-fold, by the same reason that when he has suspicion of finding theft he enters with three free witnesses.1

“12.2. But if a colonus or a slave prohibits someone who is so inquiring, his presumption shall be vindicated by the judges by torture of blows and by these [presumably the coloni] the things lost shall be paid for simply, after the fashion of Gaius who lays this down concerning prohibitions.”2

---

1 GI.3.192 has some of this, including the four-fold pretorian penalty. There is nothing in GI about the number of witnesses.

2 The reference is probably to GI.3.186, 188, 192, or to some epitome of these passages: “186. There is what is called furtum conceptum, when a stolen thing has been sought and found on a man’s premises in the presence of witnesses. Against him, even if he be not the thief, a special action called concepti has been established.” “188. There is also an action prohibiti furti against one who prevents another who wishes to search for a stolen thing from doing so.” “192. An action for preventing search (prohibiti furti) for fourfold has been introduced by the praetor’s Edict. The law of the Twelve Tables provides no penalty for this, but merely ordains
L.B. tit. 16 (above, p. III–28):

“16.1 If anyone has followed the tracks of an animal, and following those tracks comes to another’s house, and if he to whose house he comes prohibits his entering the house to seek back his property, let him who drives him away from his house when he is making inquiry about that which he seeks back be held for punishment as a thief, with the further provision that it is not permitted a woman to deny questioning [i.e., to refuse to reply to an inquiry].

“16.2. But if perhaps a slave or a maidservant prohibits this when his or her master is absent, let him who prohibits it be held by law liable to punishment as a thief.”

(d) L.R.B. tit. 13:

“13.1. If anyone’s animal does damage, the owner shall either pay the estimate of the damage or turn over the animal; this we also wish to be observed concerning a dog or a biped, according to the species of Paul’s Sentences book one, under the title, ‘If a four-footed animal does pauperies’. 3

“13.2. Concerning the dog also included in the same title, that if anyone has a vicious dog and does not restrain it on a leash in the streets and public ways during the daytime hours, whatever damage he does, let it be paid by the owner.

“13.3. This is added to these things: that if anyone has any horse [a vulgar word] or another animal that has mange [probable translation, though the word can be broader] and allows it wander in such a way that joined with the flocks of the neighbors it transfers its own disease, whatever damage has been done through it, let it similarly be remedied by the owner. 4

“13.4. In the accidents of fire or shipwreck and ruin, if by this accident things that have been lent gratuitously perish, he, to whom the thing has been lent, cannot be held to pay for the thing, unless it happens to be proved that he freed his own thing, when he could have pulled out the thing that was lent from the same accident, according to the opinion of Paul, book two, under the title ‘Concerning gratuitous loans, deposits, pledges, and fiducia [things given on trust]’. 5

[Can we see in these four passages at least hints of the author’s thoughts on the general question of when someone should be held liable for damages to another’s person or property?]

L.B. tit. 18 (above, p. III–29):

“18.1. If any animal by chance (casu) or if any dog by bite, cause death to a man, we order that among Burgundians the ancient rule of blame be removed henceforth: because what happens by chance ought not to conduce to the loss or discomfiture of man.  So that if among animals, a horse kills a horse unexpectedly, or an ox gores an ox, or a dog gnaws a dog, so that it is crippled, let the owner hand over the animal or dog through which the loss is seen to have been committed to him who suffers the loss.

that one wishing to search must do so naked, girt with a liciun and holding a platter; if he finds anything, the law says it is to be manifest theft.” Note that GI says nothing about a slave or colonus.

3 Cf. Paul, Sentences [PS] 1.15.1: “If a four-footed animal does pauperies or does damage or depastures something, an action is given against the owner, so that he either undergoes the estimate of the damages or gives over the four-footed animal. This is also for a dog by the lex Pesolania.” For the classical law on the topic, see D.9.1. In addition, to the lex Pesolania, mentioned here, see D.9.1.4, which indicates that the praetor gave an actio utilis for other kinds of animals.

4 Though the modern editors of Paul’s Sentences put both LRB 13.2 and 13.3 in PS (cleaning up the spelling), there is no indication other than the LRB that these provisions were in PS. Granted the LRB’s predilection for free quotation, it is virtually certain that if something were in PS on these topics, it would not have been worded like this. What we do know is that the next provision in PS (15.2) deals with keeping wild beasts in areas where the public frequents. Here the liability is on the owner in full, presumably under the principles of damnum iniuria datum under the lex Aquilia. The provision after that (15.3) deals with the situation where someone has provoked an animal. Here, there is no liability of the owner at all. LRB 13.2 corresponds to the classical law (D.9.1.5), and the aediles’ edict confirmed this with regard to dogs and wild animals in crowded places. D.21.1.40–42. I have not found the example of the mangy horse in the classical law (though it may be there), but I have little doubt that this, too, would be case of damnum iniuria datum, and not of pauperies liability.

5 PS 2.4.2: “If a fire, shipwreck, or ruin [a technical term meaning the collapse of a building], or some other similar accident (casus) happens and an item lent gratuitously is lost, he to whom the thing was lent will not be held under this heading [i.e., the actio commodati], unless it happened that he could have saved the thing lent but preferred his own thing.”
“18.2. In truth, if a lance or any kind of weapon shall have been thrown upon the ground or set there without intent to do harm (simpliciter), and if by accident (casu) a man or animal impales himself thereupon, we order that he to whom the weapon belongs shall pay nothing unless by chance he held the weapon in his own hands in such a manner that it could cause harm to a man.”

(e) L.R.B. tit. 21:

“21.1. By the consent of the father of each repudiation can be given and marriage dissolved.[Cf. Nov. Th. 12.1; (439); C.J.5.17.8pr, 9pr (none of these mentions mentions parental consent).]6

“21.2. But if the man’s part wishes to give repudiation his wife contradicting, not otherwise shall it be allowed to him unless he convicts her of adultery, or poisoning, or bawdry; one of these crimes being proven, he shall be permitted to repudiate his wife and the marriage gift shall be recalled to his right.

“21.3. But if the woman wants to repudiate the man, the husband unwilling, not otherwise shall it be allowed her, unless she prove the man a homicide or a violator of graves or a poisoner. And if she proves one of these crimes, she shall dismiss the man, and shall rightfully keep the gift granted for herself, and she shall vindicate the dowry that her husband made for her, according to the Theodosian law promulgated under the title, ‘Concerning repudiations’ [C.Th.3.16.1 (which also mentions the possibility of relegation as punishment for the woman, if she fails in her proof)].”

L.B. tit. 34 (above, p. III–34):

“34.1. If any woman leaves (puts aside) her husband to whom she is legally married, let her be smothered in mire.

“34.2. If anyone wishes to put away his wife without cause, let him give her another payment such as he gave for her marriage price and let the amount of the fine be twelve solidi.

“34.3. If by chance a man wishes to put away his wife, and is able to prove one of these three crimes against her, that is, adultery, witchcraft, or violation of graves, let him have full right to put her away: and let the judge pronounce the sentence of the law against her, just as should be done against criminals.

“34.4. But if she admits none of these three crimes, let no man be permitted to put away his wife for any other crime. But if he chooses, he may go away from the home, leaving all household property behind, and his wife with their children may possess the property of her husband.”

(f) L.R.B. tit. 23 (“Concerning Oaths”):

“1. If it is ordered by the judge or agreed to by the parties that oaths be taken about dubious matters, he alone ought to swear from whom something is being sought, nor are other persons required for oaths. Once the oath is taken, all claim on this cause shall cease.

“2. This procedure of oath is nonetheless denied to one who is noted of infamy, according to the constitutions of Gregory and Hermogenianus.” [The referenced text has not survived.]7


“We know that many of our people are corrupted through inability to establish a case and because of instinct of greed, so that they do not hesitate frequently to offer oaths about uncertain matters and likewise to perjure themselves about known matters. To break up this criminal practice, we decree by the present law that as often as a case shall arise among our people and he who has been accused denies by offering oaths that that which is sought is owed by him, and that that has been done which is charged, it is fitting that an end be made to their litigation in this manner: if the party to whom oath has been offered does not wish to receive the oath, but shall say that the truthfulness of his adversary can be demonstrated only by resort to arms, and the second party (the one accused) shall not yield (the case charged), let the right of combat not be refused; with the further provision that one of the same witnesses who came to give oath shall fight, God being the judge. For it is just that if anyone shall say without delay that he knows the truth of the matter and shall offer to take oath, he should not hesitate to fight. But if the witness of him who offered

6 Nov. Th. 12.1 mentions the repeal of previous constitutions on the topic of divorce and a return to the “old laws” (veteres leges). These may have included a requirement of parental consent for divorce, as they certainly did for the marriage itself.

7 While this institution is not attested in the surviving texts of classical Roman law, it has some parallels in the decisoary oath (iusturandum necessarium), which was used in certain cases of debt. That one who is infamous is not to be trusted with an oath is also consonant, if not quite required, by the classical law. See Berger, s.v. infamia. Ed.
oath was overcome in combat, let all witnesses who promised that they would take oath be compelled to pay a fine of three hundred solidi without any grant of delay. But if he who refused to receive the oath (the accuser) shall have been killed, let the victorious party be repaid ninefold the sum (debt) involved taken from his property (i.e., from the property of the dead man) as damages, so that as a result, one may delight in truth rather than falsehood.”