Table IV.1. The Effect of Foreign Listing on Stock Prices and Expected Returns

Study	Methodology	Sample	Findings
Alexander et al. (1988) ^a	Event study - Listing date	34 listings in the US by foreign firms, including from Canada	 CARs peak three months before listing and then decline, indicating segmentation. CARs were significantly lower (and negative) in the post-dual-listing period. No corresponding increase in stock price as theory would suggest. Decline in returns was significant only for non-Canadian stocks, indicating integration between Canadian and US markets.
Damodaran et al. (1993) ^b	Event study - Listing date	276 listings on TokSE and LSE by firms listed on a US exchange	 No evidence of a positive listing effects on returns. The days immediately around the dual listing have insignificant negative excess returns.
Domowitz et al. (1995) ^c	Event Study - Listing date	26 US ADR listings (various levels) by Mexican Firms	 Insignificant positive CARs prior to listing. Little price effect with regard to Level III ADRs. No significant externality on the price of pure local stocks.
Foerster and Karolyi (1993) ^d	Event study - Listing date	49 listings on US markets by Canadian firms	 Positive significant CARs before listing. Positive significant CARs during listing period, supporting the market segmentation hypothesis. Negative significant CARs after listing. Return patterns vary by industry.
Foerster and Karolyi (1996) ^e	Event Study - Listing date; ICAPM - Listing date	161 US ADR listings by firms from 14 countries in Europe, Asia, Canada, and Australia	 Positive significant CARs before listing. Positive significant CARs during listing period. Negative significant CARs after listing, which erode most, but not all, of the previous yields. Positive significant alpha before listing. Negative significant alpha after listing. Results differ by region, not necessarily in way predicted by segmentation theories. Return patterns also vary by industry.

Howe and Kelm (1987) ^f	Event study - Announcement date	165 listings (first, second, and third) on stock exchanges in Paris, Basel, and Frankfurt by US firms.	Negative abnormal returns in the period surrounding the announcement of the listing, suggesting a net cost to overseas listing.
Jayaranan et al. (1993) ^g	Event Study - Listing Date	95 US ADR listings by firms from Japan, UK, Australia, France, Germany, Italy, and Sweden	Positive significant ARs on the listing day, suggesting there is value associated with ADR listing.
Lee (1991) ^h	Event study - Listing date	141 listings on TorSE and LSE by US firms	Negative, but insignificant, ARs on the actual listing and CARs in the period surrounding it, suggesting that overseas listing has no significant impact on shareholder wealth.
Marr <i>et al</i> . (1991) ⁱ	Event study - Announcement date	32 Euroequity and 196 domestic equity offerings by US firms	 Negative stock price response on announcement. After controlling for firm characteristics, the negative stock price responses are proportionately smaller for Euroequity issues the larger is the off-shore tranche. This is consistent with the hypothesis that new financial instrument enable firms to reduce international investment barriers.
McGoun (1987) ^j	Event study - Listing date	Listings on the LSE, TokSE, and TorSE by US firms	Negative post-listing return pattern.
Miller (1996) ^k	Event Study - Announcement date; Listing date	183 US ADR listings of all levels by firms from 35 countries (both developed and developing)	 Positive significant ARs during announcement period. Low positive and insignificant ARs in the preannouncement period. Low insignificant ARs in the post-announcement period.
			Low negative and insignificant ARs before listing.Negative significant ARs after listing.
			Firms experience larger positive ARs upon announcement of an ADR in a large market compared with an ADR on the OTC market.
			Firms experience high positive and significant ARs upon announcing an upgrade from the OTC market

			1 ANYON AMEN MACRACY
			to a large market (NYSE, AMEX, NASDAQ).
			Positive significant ARs upon announcing a Level III ADR (capital raising).
			Firms located in emerging markets experience a larger increase in AR than those domiciled in developed markets, consistent with international market segmentation.
Reily <i>et al.</i> (1990) ¹	Event study - Listing date	Listings on the TokSE by US firms	Negative post-listing ARs.
Sundaram and Logue (1996) ^m	Examining valuation metrics (price- to-book, price- to-cash- earnings, price- to-earnings) - Listing date;	80 US ADR listings on NYSE or AMEX by firms from 14 countries (mostly developed)	• Using country benchmarked ratios - the value of cross-listed stocks experienced a positive significant rise of 4-10% relative to the stock prices in the home markets.
			• Using worldwide industry benchmarked ratios - a positive significant rise of 4-10% relative to global industry counterparts.
			No cross-sectional logic was found in the results.
	Event study - Listing date		• In the event study - Negative significant ARs immediately after listing; negative but insignificant CARs around listing date.
Ting Lau et	Event Study - Application, acceptance, and listing dates	346 listings on 10 foreign exchanges by US firms	No ARs on application date.
al. (1994) ⁿ			Positive but insignificant daily ARs around acceptance date.
			Positive significant CARs during acceptance period.
			Negative ARs on listing date.
			Negative CARs in the post-listing period.
Varela and Lee (1993)°	ICAPM - Listing date	111 listings on LSE and TokSE by US firms (mostly MNCs)	• LSE listings show a significant negative alpha term.
			TokSE listings show no significant alpha term.
			• Significant decrease in alpha term in both markets, indicating that international listings decrease required returns.

Sources: See endnotes.

Reference to significance/insignificance in the findings column is to statistical significance, usually at 0.05 level.

Abbreviations

AR - Abnormal Returns

CAR - Cumulative Abnormal Returns

LSE - London Stock Exchange

TokSE - Tokyo Stock Exchange

TorSE - Toronto Stock Exchange

^a Gordon J. Alexander, Cheol S. Eun, and S. Janakirmanan, *International Listings and Stock Returns: Some Empirical Evidence*, 23 J. Fin. & Quantitative Anal. 135 (1988).

^b Aswath Damodaran, Liu Crocker, and W. Van Harlow, *The Effects of International Dual listings on Stock Price Behavior*, New York University Salomon Brothers Working Paper S-93-41 (1993).

^c Ian Domowitz, Jack Glenn, and Ananth Madhavan, *Market Segmentation and Stock Prices: Evidence from an Emerging Market*, working paper, University of Southern California (1995).

^d Stephen R. Foerster, and G. Andrew Karolyi, *International Listing of Stocks: The Case of Canada and the U.S.*, 24 J. Int'l Bus. Stud. 763 (1993).

^e Stephen R. Foerster, and G. Andrew Karolyi, *The Effects of Market Segmentation and Illiquidity on Asset Prices: Evidence from Foreign Stocks Listing in the US*, Working Paper No. 96-6, Fisher College of Business, Ohio State University (1996).

^f John S. Howe and Kathryn Kelm, *The Stock Price Impact of Overseas Listings*, 16 Fin. Mgmt. 51 (1987).

^g Narayanan Jayaraman, Kuldeep Shastri, and Kishore Tandon, *The Impact of International Cross Listings on Risk and Return - The Evidence from American Depository Receipts*, 17 J. Banking & Fin. 91 (1993).

^h Insup Lee, *The Impact of Overseas Listing on Stockholder Wealth: The Case of the London and Toronto Stock Exchanges*, 18 J. Bus. Fin. & Accounting 583 (1991).

ⁱ Wayne Marr, John Trimble, and Raj Varma, *On the Integration of International Capital Markets: Evidence from Euroequity Offerings*, Fin. Mgmt. Winter, 11 (1991).

^j E. McGoun, The Value Impact of American Stock Listing on Foreign Stock Exchanges, Ph.D. Dissertation, Indiana University (1987), cited in Oscar Varela and Sang H. Lee, *The Combined Effects of International Listing on the Security Market Line and Systematic Risk for US Listings on the London and Tokyo Stock Exchanges*, in STANLEY R. STANSELL, ED., INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL MARKET INTEGRATION 369 (1993).

^k Darius P. Miller, Why Do Foreign Firms List in the United States? An Empirical Analysis of the Depository Receipt Market, unpublished manuscript (1996).

¹ F.K. Reily, D.J. Wright, and T. Wakasugi, *A Dual Oversees Listing: The Impact on Returns, Risk, and Trading Volume*, unpublished paper, presented at the Financial Mangement Association meeting, October 1990, cited in Oscar Varela and Sang H. Lee, *The Combined Effects of International Listing on the Security Market Line and Systematic Risk for US Listings on the London and Tokyo Stock Exchanges*, in STANLEY R. STANSELL, ED., INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL MARKET INTEGRATION 369 (1993).

^m Anant K. Sundaram and Dennis E. Logue, *Valuation Effects of Foreign Company Listings on U.S. Exchanges*, 27 J. Int'l Bus. Stud. 67 (1996).

ⁿ Sie Ting Lau, David Diltz, and Vincent Apilado, *Valuation Effects of International Stock Exchange Listings*, J. Banking & Fin. December 743 (1994).

° Oscar Varela and Sang H. Lee, *The Combined Effects of International Listing on the Security Market Line and Systematic Risk for US Listings on the London and Tokyo Stock Exchanges*, in STANLEY R. STANSELL, ED., INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL MARKET INTEGRATION 369 (1993).