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**Requirements**

If you have not taken Medieval Studies 119 (=Law 42100–31) (or had equivalent preparation elsewhere), you should attend the lectures in that course (Mon. and Wed. at 11:00) in the Yard, room Sever 102. Law students should sign up for an hour of “optional written work,” unless you are writing your third-year paper in conjunction with this seminar. It would also be useful for you to attend the “section” for graduate and law students in that course (Tues. 10:40–12:00) [room WCC 3018]. The sessions of this reading course will focus on the “primary readings” listed below in the light of the “secondary readings.” The primary materials will come either from my “coursepack,” *Documents on Continental Legal History (Mats.),* or from xeroxes or online documents that I will provide. A research paper is not required (except for those law students who are doing their third-year papers in conjunction with this seminar). Rather, you should prepare three five-page papers each focusing on one or more of the texts to be discussed in the seminar. (More on this requirement below under “Papers.”)

**Syllabus:**

Normally, this syllabus is quite loose. I have in the past accommodated particular interests of the participants in the seminar. I’ll try to do that this year, too, but please let me know as soon as possible if there’s something that is not on this list that particularly interests you. Items in boldface in the primary readings will be the particular focus of the class. I’ve also tried to flag the particularly important secondary readings.

**The new calendar makes everything very tight. We really have to hit the ground running in the**
first session. What is listed below under Background generalities used to be a separate session, but that is no longer possible. I have either given you below the URL of a website where you can find the readings for the first class or I have posted them on the course website.

**Background generalities**: Very quickly (we’ll spend some time on this in the first session) we need to review some basic history, some basic constitutional history, and some basic legal history. I have to assume that you have some acquaintance with these topics, but here’s one way of getting at them relatively painlessly. Two quick paperbacks on basic history: H. G. Keonigsberger, *Medieval Europe, 400–1500* (1987); H. G. Koenigsberger, *Early Modern Europe, 1500–1789* (1987). Two short, but not so quick books on legal history: James A. Brundage, *Medieval Canon Law* (London: Longman, 1996), 264 p. Manlio Bellomo, *The Common Legal Past of Europe* (Washington, DC: Catholic University, 1995), xix, 252 p.

**Week 1**—Tue., Jan. 29

*The legacy of the ancient world.*

Primary reading: (1) **Justinian’s Institutes** (any translation will do, but you should read the contents, prooemium, bk. 1, titles 1–3 (hereafter 1.1–3), 1.9–10, and 2.1 in Latin to begin familiarizing yourself with Latin legal vocabulary). A complete translation of the Institutes may be found on the [course website](#); of the various online versions of the Latin text **The Latin Library** has the cleanest html that I have found, but that in the **Roman Law Library** allows you to flip from the Latin to English or French translation and back. Both seem to be relatively free of error.

(2) Paul’s Letter to the Romans (any modern translation, e.g., New Revised Standard Version, New Jerusalem Bible, etc.)

(3) Extracts from the NT on marriage (**Mark 10:2–12; Luke 16:18; Matthew 5:31–32, 19:3–12; 1 Corinthians 7; Ephesians 5:21–6:9**). Of the numerous Biblical websites, the one that I use most often is [http://unbound.biola.edu/](http://unbound.biola.edu/); it allows you to compare up to four translations, including the Latin Vulgate and the Douai-Rheims translation of it, in parallel.


**Week 2**—Tue., Feb. 5

*The legacy of the early Middle Ages*

Primary reading: (1) Æthelbert’s “**Code**” (L. Oliver ed., *The Earliest English Laws*) (in course
materials. We should start by having some fun. Bring your computer and set it to http://www.bl.uk/ttp2/hiddentreasures.html. Focus in on the first folio of the Textus Roffensis, and compare what you see there with modern edition on the course website. Then go to the website of the archive where the manuscript is kept and learn why you can’t rely on Google to bring you to what you really want. (The size of the URL for the archive’s website is monstrous; be patient; it takes a while to load.)

(2) the “Tabulae” from the Hispana (PL 84.23–91 or G. Martínez Diez, La colección canónica hispana (Madrid: Consejo Superior de investigaciones científicas, 1966–), 1:501–83 (you can ignore the ones in verse).

(3) The sections on “anger” and “envy” from an Old Irish Penitential, (L. Bieler, The Irish Penitentials, Scriptores Latini Hiberiae, 5 (Dublin: The Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies, 1963), 269–71, or J.T. McNeill and A.M. Gamer, Medieval Handbooks of Penance (New York: Columbia University Press, 1938), 164–65 (to be used only if you can’t find Bieler). (Available on the course website, from McNeill and Gamer.)

(4) Pseudo-Anacletus Epist. 3, Pseudo-Zepherinus Epist. 1, Psuedo Evaristus Epist. 1 (P. Hinschius, Decretales Psuedo-Isidorianae (Leipzig, 1863), 81–8, 131–3). (Available on the course website; you’ll need some time with this; the Latin is not easy.)

(5) Nicholas I, Epist. ad consulta Bulgarorum c.3 (PL 119.980 or MGH, Epist. 6.2.569 (a slightly better text)). (Available on the course website [the print in the image is a bit fuzzy, but if you blow it up to 130% it’s quite legible].)

(6) Burchard of Worms, Decretum bks. 7, 9, 17 (PL 140.778–87, 811–30, 918–34.)


Week 3—Tue., Feb. 12

The reform movement and Gratian’s Concordance of Discordant Canons.

Primary reading: The Collection in 74 Titles (J. Gilchrist, Diuersorum patrum sententie siue Collectio in LXXIV titulos digesta, Monumenta Iuris Canonici [MIC], B: Corpus Collectionum, 1 (Città del Vaticano: Biblioteca Apostolica Vaticana, 1973) (read English introduction by Gilchrist, the tabula, tit. 1–15, 62–4). [There is also an English translation by the same author The Collection in Seventy-Four Titles: A Canon Law Manual of the Gregorian Reform, Medieval Sources in Translation, 22 (Toronto: Pontifical Institute of Medieval Studies, 1980). Except for the introduction, these are available in the course materials. I have placed pdf’s of the Latin of tits. 62–4 on the course website.]
Gratian Distinctio [D.] 1–20 (trans. James Gordley and Augustine Thompson, Gratian: The Treatise on Laws (Washington, DC: Catholic University Press, 1993)); C.27. q.2 (partial trans. in course materials; try to get some sense of what it says in the original). [There are two online editions of the Friedberg edition of Gratian, one from the Columbia University Library (in pdf format) and the other from the Bavarian State Library in Munich (in html format). I have placed pdfs of the latter for C.27 q.2 on the course website. The Latin of the some of the extracts (canons) is quite hard, but Gratian’s own Latin is quite easy to follow.]


Week 4—Tue., Feb. 19

Roman law from Irnerius to Accursius.

Primary reading: Justinian’s Institutes [J.I.] 2.1.12–13 with the ordinary gloss; Digest [D.] 41.1.55, with the ordinary gloss [selections from the summae and quaestiones may be added].


Week 5—Tue., Feb. 26

Decretists, decretals and decretal collections.

Primary reading (I have omitted the simony material for class discussion this year, though there’s no reason why someone could not do a paper on it): Rufinus on C.1 q.1 and C.27 q.2 (Heinrich Singer, Die Summa Decretorum des Magister Rufinus (Paderborn: Schöningh, 1902), 196–224); Summa Coloniensis on C.1 q.1 and C.27 q.2 (Gérard Fransen and Stephan Kuttner, Summa ‘Elegantius in iure divino’ seu Coloniensis, MIC A: Corpus Glossatorum, 1, 4 vols. (New York: Fordham U.P., 1969; Città del Vaticano: Biblioteca Apostolica Vaticana, 1978; id., 1986; id., 1990), 2:1–48 and 4:1–57; Liber Extra [X] 4.16.2., 4.4.3., 4.1.15., 4.3.1., 4.12.2., 5.3.10–13, 15–24, 31–32 (in the Friedberg edition, Corpus iuris canonici, vol. 2); 1 Comp. 4.4.5(7), 4.4.6(8), 4.5.4(6), 2 Comp. 5.2.6 (in the Friedberg edition of the Compilationes antiquae (Leipzig: Tauchnitz, 1882)).

**Week 6—Tue., Mar. 5**

*The formation of Romano-canonical procedure (Bulgarus to Tancred).*

Primary reading: Tancred, *Ordo 3.5–12* (Fridericus Bergmann, *Pillii, Tancredi, Gratiae, Libri de iudiciorum odine* (Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1842), 222–48.)


**Week 7—Tue., Mar. 12**

*Political Ideas of the Glossators*

Primary reading (all these texts are short; I’ll make up a xerox package or post them on the web):

*Continuator of Otto of Morena* (MGH, *Scriptores* 18 (1863) 607); *Azo Summa Codicis* 3.13; C.7.37.3 (with the gloss); D.14.2.9 (with the gloss); *Odofredus on D.2.13*; *Odofredus on C.7.37.3*; *Hostiensis on X 1.7.3, 1.7.1, 1.7.2, 3.8.4, 3.34.7, 3.32.7, 3.35.6, 5.31.8; D.1.3.31 and J.I. 2.6* (with the gloss); C.1.14(17) (with the gloss); *Johannes Monachus on Extrav. comm. 2.3.1*.


**Week 8—Tue., Mar. 26**

*The Formation of the ius commune: Accursius to Baldus*

Primary reading: Hostiensis on the formation of Marriage (*Hostiensis, Summa aurea*, bk. 4); *Albertus Gandinus on witnesses* (ed. H. Kantorowicz, in *Albertus Gandinus und das Strafrecht der Scholastik*, 2 (1926) 69–72); *Bartolus on mills* (repetitio on D.43.12.2 in *Opera omnia* (Basle 1562) 5:350–4).


**Week 9—Tue., Apr. 2**
The ius commune in action: cases, case-reports and consilia


Week 10—Tue., Apr. 9

Lawyers and politics in the later middle ages: a conciliar moment [Don’t get too far ahead in the readings; I may have some substitutions here.]


Secondary reading: Brian Tierney, Foundations of the Conciliar Theory (Cambridge: Cambridge, 1955); extracts from J.H. Burns (ed), History of Late Medieval Political Thought.

Week 11—Tue., Apr. 16

Customary law tamed, though perhaps not quite

Primary reading: Extracts from the Sachsenspiegel. Extracts from Guy Coquille, Institution au droit des francois. There’s a new translation of the Sachsenspiegel that I’d like to try out: Maria Dobozy (trans.), The Saxon mirror : a Sachsenspiegel of the fourteenth century (Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, c1999). The original is normally divided into two parts Landrecht and Lehrrecht. The transmission tradition is a nightmare, but if you can handle Middle High German, you ought to take a look at the various editions by Karl August Eckhardt. For Coquille, the extracts in the course materials will suffice.


Week 12—Tue., Apr. 23

Dealing with the “other”—Bartolus on conflicts and Victoria on the Indies

Primary reading: Bartolus on conflicts of laws, §§ 13–51 of his repetitio on C.1.1.1; Francisco de Victoria, De Indis et de iure belli relectiones (a translation is available in the “The Classics of International Law” series and another (it may not be complete) in the Cambridge Texts in the History of Political Thought series).


Papers

You should prepare three five-page papers each focusing on one or more of the texts to be discussed in the course. (As the semester goes on we can broaden the texts to include texts in which you are interested but which we will not be discussing in class.) The papers should offer an idea supported by an analysis of the text and by appropriate external evidence. You should prepare a draft of your paper before (preferably) or shortly after we take up your text in class. I will comment on your draft, and in the light of the comments, you should prepare a final draft. The final drafts are due by the end of the FAS reading period (5/10/2013).

Here are some examples of suitable paper topics (all of these have been successful paper topics in the past):

- The requirements that Nicholas I sets forth for a valid marriage in the Epistula ad consulta Bulgarorum;
- The interpretation of the law on the capture of wild animals offered in the ordinary gloss to J.I. 2.1.12-13;
- The use of the story of Gehazi (2 Kings 5:15–27) in Gratian’s tractate on simony (C.1 q.1);
- How the decretals of Alexander III (e.g., X 4.16.2, 4.4.3, 4.1.15, 4.3.1, 4.12.2) modify the law on the formation of marriage set out in Gratian’s C.27 q.2;
- What is the main thrust is of Tancred’s treatment of witnesses (Ordo 3.12);
- How and why Bartolus develops a law of mills in his repetitio on D.43.12.2;
- What the various versions of the story of the emperor and horse tell us about the glossators’ ideas about the power of the prince (Continuator of Otto of Morena; Azo, Summa codicis 3.13; Odofredus on C.7.37.3);
- Bartolus on conflicts of law (repetitio on C.1.1.1 sv Cunctos populos.